

You can't make the borromean rings out of chainmaille

Natalie Stewart*

September 11, 2023

These notes are unfinished!

1 Phenomenon

1.1 Common-radius weaves

Construction 1.1. Let $U := \mathbb{RP}^2$ be the topological space of pointed planes in \mathbb{R}^3 . We refer to this as the space of *geometric unit circles in \mathbb{R}^3* , as it possesses an embedding

$$c : U \times \mathbb{R} \hookrightarrow \text{Links}$$

into the space of embeddings of S^1 into \mathbb{R}^3 by restricting to the circle of radius r around the point. Furthermore, define

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n := \left\{ (r, (p_i)_{i \in [n]}) \mid \exists \varepsilon > 0, \forall i, j \ c(r, p_i) \cap c(r, p_j) > \varepsilon \right\} \subset \mathbb{R} \times U_{\text{Aut}[n]}^{\times n}.$$

to be the space of tame configurations of n nonintersecting unit circles of the same radius in \mathbb{R}^3 .¹ Denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} := \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n$ the union across all n .

The space $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n$ possesses an embedding into Links. The following proposition is physical motivation for our work:

Proposition 1.2. Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n,\varepsilon}$ denote the topological space of component-wise scaled isometric embeddings of $n \cdot T_\varepsilon$, where T_ε is the metric space formed by taking the ball of radius ε around a standard unit circle in \mathbb{R}^3 . Then, whenever $\varepsilon \geq \varepsilon'$, there is an embedding $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n,\varepsilon} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n,\varepsilon'}$, and together these form a colimit diagram

$$\text{colim}_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_{n,\varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\sim} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n.$$

For the purpose of these notes, let \mathbb{G} denote the group of isometries of \mathbb{R}^3 . We give $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n$ a \mathbb{G} -action in the following proposition:

Proposition 1.3. Endowing on S^1 a trivial \mathbb{G} -action, there is an evident action of the group \mathbb{G} on Links; this action preserves the image of the embedding

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n \hookrightarrow \text{Links},$$

and hence it endows $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n$ with a \mathbb{G} -action.

Proof. First note that a map $\mathbb{R}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$ is an affine transformation if and only if it is an embedding of a totally geodesic submanifold; the property of such an embedding being totally geodesic is invariant under the action of the isometry group of \mathbb{R}^3 , so U is preserved under the action of \mathbb{G} on Links, hence so is $\mathbb{R} \times U^{\times n}$. Since isometries are injective, the locus $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_n$ is preserved as well. \square

We can now make a central construction.

*Harvard university.

¹Here, the tameness assumption only comes into play when $n = \infty$.

Construction 1.4. Let H be a discrete group acting on \mathbb{R}^3 by isometries. Let $\mathbf{Set}_H^{\text{cbl}}$ be the category of at-most countable H -sets. The $W(H)$ space $\coprod_{H \in \pi_0 \mathbf{Set}^{\text{cbl}}} \text{TopEmb}(S^1, \mathbb{R}^3)$ has a decomposition indexed by isomorphism classes of at-most countable \mathbb{G} -sets given by the induced \mathbb{G} -action on the set of components; denote the pullback decomposition on $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ by

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^H = \coprod_{S \in \pi_0 \mathbf{Set}_H^{\text{cbl}}} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_S^H.$$

Then, the set of S -indexed H -weaves is $W_S^H := \pi_0 \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_S^H$.

We note the following consequence of tameness here:

Proposition 1.5. *Suppose $H \subset G$ is a closed subgroup which is not discrete. Then, W^H is contractible.*

1.2 Unit-radius weaves

Let $\mathcal{W} \subset \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ be the topological space of weaves with radius 1. This is our most physically intuitive space. We recover results about physical chainmaille in this section.

The positive $\mathbb{R}_{>0}^+$ acts on \mathbb{G} by conjugation in the group of scaled isometries of \mathbb{R}^3 , where \mathbb{G}_a acts on \mathbb{R}^3 by dilation about the origin. In fact, if τ_x is the translational symmetry taking the origin to $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$, we have $r \cdot \tau_x = \tau_{rx}$. We relate the genuine equivariant homotopy of \mathcal{W} and $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ using this action, via the following easy proposition

Proposition 1.6. $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^H \simeq \cup_{r \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}^+} \mathcal{W}^{r \cdot H}$.

There's more we can say about this, but let's let that be for now.

2 Formalism

2.1 G -spaces

The main object of study is W_S^H , which occurs as a canonical decomposition of the 0th homotopy group of the H -fixed points of a topological \mathbb{G} -space. A natural way to throwing away some information of a topological space without throwing away π_0 is through *homotopy theory*; when there's a group action floating around, we use something called *genuine equivariant homotopy theory*.

Definition 2.1. Let G be a topological group, and let \mathbf{Top}_G denote the category of topological spaces² with G -actions. Then, the ∞ -category of G -spaces is the simplicial localization

$$\mathcal{S}_G := \mathbf{Top}[G - EQ^{-1}]$$

where $G - EQ$ is the class of homotopy equivalences f which are G -equivariant, and for which the homotopies $ff^{-1} \sim \text{id}$ and $f^{-1}f \sim \text{id}$ can be chosen to be G -equivariant at all times.

This recovers the homotopy types of the fixed points:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $H \subset G$ be a closed subgroup. Then, the orbit space G/H has a canonical G -action, and there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\text{Map}(G/H, X)^G \simeq X^H.$$

In fact, we can do more:

Definition 2.3. The *orbit category* is the subcategory $\mathcal{O}_G \subset \mathbf{Top}_G$ spanned by the homogeneous spaces G/H .

This provides a functor $\mathcal{S}_G \xrightarrow{X^{(-)}} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_G, \mathcal{S})$. One of the most important foundational theorems of genuine equivariant homotopy theory suggests that we can go the other way:

²Compactly generated, weakly hausdorff

Theorem 2.4 (Elmendorf’s theorem). *The fixed point functor $\mathcal{S}_G \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathcal{O}_G, \mathcal{S})$ is an equivalence of ∞ -categories.*

There’s a simple interpretation of this in terms of chainmail weaves; if X is a G -set, then an X -valued G -invariant of weaves is a map of G -spaces $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow X$. Elmendorff’s theorem says that X is simply a functor $\mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, and an X -valued invariant is simply a collection of maps $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^H \rightarrow X^H$ compatible with restriction and conjugation.

In particular, the functor $\mathcal{O}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ whose value on G/H is $\mathbf{Set}_H^{\text{cbl}}$ corresponds with a unique G -space, which we refer to as $\underline{\pi}_0 \mathbf{Set}_G^{\text{cbl}}$. We use this to define graded objects:

Definition 2.5. Let G be a topological group. Then, the *category of countably-graded G -spaces* is the overcategory

$$\mathcal{S}_G^{\text{Gr cbl}} := (\mathcal{S}_G)_{/\underline{\pi}_0 \mathbf{Set}_G^{\text{cbl}}}.$$

The decomposition $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^H \simeq \coprod_{S \in \pi_0 \mathbf{Set}_H^{\text{cbl}}} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_S^H$ provides a countable grading on $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$. A *graded G -invariant of weaves* is a map of countably-graded G -spaces out of \mathcal{W} . An archetypical example of this is the *underlying link*, which is graded by the G -set of components.

2.2 G -weak equivalences

Definition 2.6. An *unstable Mackey functor valued in \mathbf{Set}* is a functor $\mathcal{S}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$. The *unstable Mackey functor homotopy groups* of a G -space are

$$\underline{\pi}_n X := \text{Map}(S^n \times (-), X) : \mathcal{S}_G \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}.$$

where G acts trivially on S^n . A *G -weak equivalence* is a map of G -spaces inducing isomorphisms on $\underline{\pi}_*$.

It’s not too hard to show that $(\underline{\pi}_n X)(H) = \pi_n X^H$. For completeness, we’d like to state a version of whitehead’s theorem using this, but first we need a version of the theory of CW complexes.

Definition 2.7. A *G -CW complex* X is a G -space with a distinguished decomposition $X = \text{colim}_n \text{sk}_n X$ together with expressions of $\text{sk}_n X$ as a pushout of $\text{sk}_{n-1} X$ along $S_n \times (S^{n-1} \hookrightarrow D^n)$, where S_n is a G -set.

Theorem 2.8 (Equivariant skeletal approximation and whitehead). *Every G -space X is G -weakly equivalent to a G -CW complex; furthermore, there is a canonical equivalence*

$$\mathcal{S}_G \simeq G - \text{CW}[G - \text{WEQ}^{-1}]$$

3 Application

Recall that Links is a G -space. We’d like to construct a graded invariant on this summarizing all of the linking information.

Definition 3.1. The G -space Graphs is the space of equivalence classes of embedded graphs in \mathbb{R}^3 with evident G -action. This space is countably graded under the forgetful map to the underlying G -set of vertices.

Construction 3.2. Let L be a countable link. Then, the *Linking graph of L* is the graph embedded in \mathbb{R}^3 whose underlying set of vertices consists of the centers of the components of L , with straight lines drawn between two vertices precisely when their corresponding link components have nonzero linking number.

Proposition 3.3. *The linking graph $G : \text{Links} \rightarrow \text{Graphs}$ is G -equivariant and countably graded.*

Define the pullback countably graded G -space

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{triv}} & \longrightarrow & \underline{\pi}_0 \mathbf{Set}_G \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \text{discrete} \\ \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} & \longrightarrow & \text{Links} \xrightarrow{G} \text{Graphs} \end{array}$$

The main theorem of this talk is as follows. We say that a G -space X is G -connected if $\pi_0 X$ is the constant unstable Mackey functor on $*$, and we say that a (countably) graded G -space *degreewise G -connected* if each graded piece is G -connected.

Theorem 3.4. *The countably graded G -space $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{triv}}$ is degreewise G -connected.*

We prove this theorem in several parts. Let $r : \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be projection onto the radius and let $f : \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ be the infimum distance between the centers of components of a weave; both of these are G -equivariant for the trivial G -action on $\mathbb{R}_{>0}$. Define $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\varepsilon$ to be the pullback G -space

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\varepsilon^{\text{triv}} & \longrightarrow & \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{triv}} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow r/f \\ (0, \varepsilon] & \longleftarrow & \mathbb{R}_{>0} \end{array}$$

consisting of dilations of trivial linking weaves whose components are at separated by distance at least 1 while having radius at most ε . The main geometric content of the theorem is the following proposition:

Proposition 3.5. *The inclusion $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\varepsilon^{\text{triv}} \hookrightarrow \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{triv}}$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. Fix the isometric embedding $\iota : \mathbb{R}^3 \simeq \partial\mathbb{H}^4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{H}^4$, where \mathbb{H}^4 is the half-plane model of hyperbolic 4-space, with boundary. By the classification of totally geodesic (TG) submanifolds in \mathbb{H}^4 , for every configuration of geometric circles in \mathbb{R}^3 , there exist unique totally geodesic properly embedded hemispheres in \mathbb{H}^4 whose boundary circles make the weave. This provides a continuous map from $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ from configurations of tg hemispheres in \mathbb{H}^4 such that the “vertical cross sections” map

$$\varphi : \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \coprod_n \text{TG}(n \cdot H^2, \mathbb{H}^4) \rightarrow \coprod_n \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \times \text{Map}(n \cdot S^1, \mathbb{R}^3)$$

is evidently G -equivariant. It was essentially claimed by Freedman and Skora that φ provides a (nonequivariant) deformation retract of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}^{\text{triv}}$ onto $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$; we prove this statement, and note that the deformation retract is manifestly G -equivariant.

To prove this, we have a few things to check:

1. The associated map $\varphi(t) : \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}^4 \rightarrow \{t\} \times \text{Map}(n \cdot S^1, \mathbb{R}^3)$ has image contained in $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ when $t \neq r$.
2. there exists a “stopping time” function $s : \widetilde{\mathcal{W}} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that the map

$$\varphi_s(w)(t) = \varphi(w)(\min(s(w), t))$$

3. $\varphi(w)(s(w)) \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\varepsilon^{\text{triv}}$.
4. φ_s restricts to the identity on $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\varepsilon^{\text{triv}}$.

The first one is the only interesting point, so it is the only one we’ll check. We may do this by extremely hands-on intersection theory: it suffices to prove that the hemisphere configurations associated with trivial-linking weaves are all nonintersecting.

Fix $w \in \widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$ a weave, and suppose the associated hemisphere configuration has intersections. Such intersections can’t be transverse; transverse intersections would be geodesic, and all compact geodesics of \mathbb{H}^4 , intersect the boundary, which would yield intersection of the components of w .

Non-transverse intersections hit a single point; such intersections correspond with “crossing switch” singularities in the space of singular links. These turn non-linked pairs into linked pairs; since all of the links in $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\varepsilon^{\text{triv}}$ and $\mathcal{W}^{\text{triv}}$ are pairwise unlinked, this can’t occur. **This is horribly written!** \square

Proposition 3.6. *There is an equivalence $(\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}_\varepsilon^{\text{triv}})_S^H \simeq (\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^2)^{S_H} \times (\mathbb{R}_3)_H$.*

Corollary 3.7. *There is a single S -indexed H -weave with no linking; in particular, every H -weave with no linking is equivalent to one with trivial underlying H -link.*

Corollary 3.8. *No nontrivial H -link with trivial linking graph is in the image of $\widetilde{\mathcal{W}}$.*