

AN ECKMANN-HILTON ARGUMENT IN EQUIVARIANT HIGHER ALGEBRA

NATALIE STEWART

ABSTRACT. Let \mathcal{O}^\otimes and \mathcal{P}^\otimes be k - and ℓ -connected unital G -operads subject to the condition for all S that $\mathcal{O}(S) = \emptyset$ if and only if $\mathcal{P}(S) = \emptyset$. We show that the Boardman-Vogt tensor product $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes} \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ is $(k + \ell + 2)$ -connected; equivalently, $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \mathcal{P}$ -monoids in any $(k + \ell + 3)$ -category lift uniquely to incomplete semi-Mackey functors. In particular, under no connectivity assumptions, discrete $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \mathcal{P}$ -monoids lift uniquely to incomplete semi-Mackey functors, recovering an Eckmann-Hilton argument for “ C_p -unital magmas.” As a consequence, we show that the smashing localizations on unital G -operads correspond precisely with unital \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads, and hence the (finite) poset of unital weak indexing systems.

Along the way we characterize ℓ -connectivity of a unital G -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes equivalently as ℓ -connectivity of \mathcal{O} -admissible Wirthmüller maps of \mathcal{O} -monoid spaces.

INTRODUCTION

The classical *Eckmann-Hilton argument* shows that, given a set with two unital multiplications $(M, *, \cdot)$ satisfying the interchange law

$$(a * b) \cdot (c * d) = (a \cdot c) * (b \cdot d),$$

the unital magmas $(M, *)$ and (M, \cdot) are isomorphic to each other and are commutative monoids. We will study equivariant variations of this result, beginning with a weakening of Dress’ Mackey functors [Dre71].

Definition 1. Let \mathcal{C} be a 1-category with finite products and C_p the cyclic group of prime order p . A C_p -unital magma in \mathcal{C} is a unital magma M^e with a C_p action by unital magma homomorphisms, a unital magma M^{C_p} (with trivial C_p -action), and C_p -equivariant *restriction and transfer* homomorphisms

$$r: M^{C_p} \rightarrow M^e, \quad t: M^e \rightarrow M^{C_p}$$

subject to the condition that $r \circ t$ is multiplication by p . A *homomorphism* $M \rightarrow N$ is a pair of unital magma homomorphisms $F^e: M^e \rightarrow N^e$ and $F^{C_p}: M^{C_p} \rightarrow N^{C_p}$ such that $F^{C_p} \circ t = t \circ F^e$ and $F^e \circ r = r \circ F^{C_p}$. ◀

Example 2. The $(\lambda + 1)$ st homotopy coefficient system of a C_p -space attains a functorial C_p -unital magma structure under the evident analog of Lewis’ *unstable Mackey structure* [Lew92].¹ ◀

In this article, we prove and vastly generalize the following theorem.

Theorem A. *Suppose (M, M') is a pair of C_p -unital magma structures on the same coefficient system satisfying suitable interchange relations. Then, $M \simeq M'$ and each underlie a semi-Mackey functor; in particular, if the multiplications on M^e and M^{C_p} are invertible, then M and M' are isomorphic Mackey functors.*

To prove this, we embed it in the theory of *algebras over G -operads* in the sense of [NS22]; in particular, we show in Section 3 that C_p -unital magmas are algebras over a particular C_p -operad $\mathbb{A}_{2, C_p}^\otimes$ in C_p -coefficient systems valued in \mathcal{C} , and spell out the correct interchange relations there.

Crucially, in [Ste25a] we associated to a pair of G -operads $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ a *tensor product* $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes} \mathcal{P}^\otimes$, whose algebras are *interchanging \mathcal{O} - and \mathcal{P} -algebras*:

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^\otimes \mathcal{P}^\otimes}(\mathcal{D}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}^\otimes}(\mathcal{D}).$$

In particular, pairs of interchanging C_p -unital magma structures correspond with $\mathbb{A}_{2, C_p}^\otimes \overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes} \mathbb{A}_{2, C_p}^\otimes$ -algebras.

Date: February 20, 2025.

¹ Explicitly, by V -Mackey functor, we mean a functor $\mathcal{B}_G(V) \rightarrow \mathbf{Ab}$ sending disjoint unions to direct sums, where $\mathcal{B}_G(V)$ is Lewis’ V -Burnside category; the transfer map $\Sigma_+^{\lambda+1} *_{C_p} \rightarrow \Sigma_+^{\lambda+1} [C_p/e]$ is constructed by the usual \mathbb{S}_G -duality construction along an embedding $[C_p/e] \hookrightarrow \lambda$ (see t[Wir75]). λ refers to any nontrivial 2-dimensional C_p -representation, though the same facts are true for the $(\sigma + 1)$ st homotopy coefficient system when $p = 2$.

Now, G -operads are ∞ -categorical gadgets; thankfully, \mathcal{O} -algebras in a G -symmetric monoidal n -category are canonically equivalent to algebras over the *homotopy n -operad* $h_n\mathcal{O}^\otimes$, whose structure spaces are the $(n-1)$ -truncations of the structure spaces of \mathcal{O}^\otimes [Ste25a]. In particular, if the structure spaces of \mathcal{O}^\otimes are n -connected, then $h_n\mathcal{O}^\otimes$ is canonically equivalent to a (weak) \mathcal{N}_∞ -operad in the sense of [BH15; Ste25a], so its algebras in the (cartesian) G -symmetric monoidal n -category of coefficient systems in an n -category \mathcal{D} are precisely incomplete semi-Mackey functors valued in \mathcal{D} [Ste25b].

From this, we identify **Theorem A** with the statement that $\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^{\otimes} \otimes^{\text{BV}} \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^{\otimes}$ is connected together with the observation that the ‘‘arity support’’ weak indexing category

$$A\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} := \left\{ T \rightarrow S \mid \forall [G/H] \subset S, \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}(T \times_S [G/H]) \neq \emptyset \right\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{C_p}$$

satisfies $A\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} = \mathbb{F}_{C_p}$ (so the corresponding incomplete Mackey functors have all transfers). Our main homotopy-coherent lift of **Theorem A** is the following generalization of [SY19, Thm 1.0.1].

Theorem B. *If \mathcal{O}^\otimes and \mathcal{P}^\otimes are k and ℓ -connected almost essentially unital G -operads with $A\mathcal{O} = A\mathcal{P}$, then $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ is $(k+\ell+2)$ -connected.*

For instance, **Theorem B**, lax G -symmetric monoidality of $\pi_0: \underline{\text{Sp}}_G^\otimes \rightarrow \underline{\text{Mack}}_G^\square(\mathbf{Ab})$, and the results of [Cha24] together construct a natural $A\mathcal{O}$ -Tambara structure on the 0th homotopy groups of $\mathcal{O} \otimes^{\text{BV}} \mathcal{O}$ -ring G -spectra;² this and a forthcoming equivariant Dunn additivity result will construct a natural AV -Tambara structure on the 0th homotopy Mackey functors of \mathbb{E}_{2V} -ring G -spectra.

We may remove the assumption $A\mathcal{O} = A\mathcal{P}$ in **Theorem B**, but we will need a more refined notion of connectivity. In general, given a weak indexing category I , we say that \mathcal{O}^\otimes is k -connected at I if, for all elements of the corresponding weak indexing system

$$T \in \mathbb{F}_{I,H} := \left\{ S \in \mathbb{F}_H \mid \text{Ind}_H^G S \rightarrow [G/H] \in I \right\},$$

the structure space $\mathcal{O}(T)$ is k -connected.

Given a subgroup $H \subset G$ and a finite H -set $S \in \mathbb{F}_H$, there is a minimal unital H -weak indexing system $\mathbb{F}_{I_S} \subset \mathbb{F}_H$ containing S , consisting of summands of restrictions of iterated indexed coproducts of S [Ste24]. We say that \mathcal{O}^\otimes is k -connected at S if it’s k -connected at I_S . We define the *connectivity function*

$$\text{Conn}_{\mathcal{O}}: \coprod_{(H) \subset G} \pi_0 \mathbb{F}_H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$$

by the formula $\text{Conn}_{\mathcal{O}}(S) := \min \{k \mid \mathcal{O}^\otimes \text{ is } k\text{-connected at } S\}$. Now, $(\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\})^{\coprod_{(H) \subset G} \pi_0 \mathbb{F}_H}$ forms a commutative monoid under pointwise addition and a poset by pointwise comparison

$$f \leq g \iff \forall S, f(S) \leq g(S).$$

An index-by-index version of **Theorem B** is the following.

Theorem C. *Given $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ a pair of almost-unital G -operads, the following inequality holds:*

$$\text{Conn}_{\mathcal{O}} + \text{Conn}_{\mathcal{P}} + 2 \leq \text{Conn}_{\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}}.$$

The key to our strategy for **Theorems B** and **C** is the following precise relationship between Wirthmüller map connectivity and connectivity at I , which the author believes to be of independent interest.

Theorem D. *Let \mathcal{P}^\otimes be a G -operad and I an almost essentially unital weak indexing category. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) \mathcal{P}^\otimes is ℓ -connected at I .

² To construct this lax symmetric monoidality, first note that $\underline{\text{Sp}}_{G,\geq 0}^\otimes \subset \underline{\text{Sp}}_G^\otimes$ is closed under tensor products, so the localization G -functor $\underline{\text{Sp}}_G \rightarrow \underline{\text{Sp}}_{G,\geq 0}^\otimes$ is given a lax G -symmetric monoidal structure by **Proposition 36**. Moreover, to construct a lax G -symmetric monoidal structure on $\tau_{\leq 0} = \pi_0: \underline{\text{Sp}}_{G,\geq 0} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Sp}}_G$, in light of [NS22] we need only note that \otimes takes π_0 -equivalences to π_0 -equivalences and that the resulting structure agrees with the usual one on Mackey functors; the former follows by the same fact applied to geometric fixed points combined with induction up the poset of families using the isotropy separation sequence.

- (b) For all n -toposes \mathcal{C} (with $n \leq \infty$), I -admissible H -sets $S \in \mathbb{F}_{I,H}$, and S -indexed tuples of \mathcal{P} -monoids $(X_K) \in \prod_{[H/K] \in \text{Orb}(S)} \text{Mon}_{\text{Res}_K^{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})$, the S -indexed \mathcal{P} -monoid Wirthmüller map

$$W_{S,(X_K)}: \prod_K^S X_K \longrightarrow \prod_K^S X_K$$

is ℓ -connected.

- (c) For all I -admissible H -sets $S \in \mathbb{F}_{I,H}$ and S -indexed tuples of \mathcal{P} - G -spaces $(X_K) \in \prod_{[H/K] \in \text{Orb}(S)} \text{Mon}_{\text{Res}_K^{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{P}}(S)$, the S -indexed \mathcal{P} - G -space Wirthmüller map

$$W_{S,(X_K)}: \prod_K^S X_K \longrightarrow \prod_K^S X_K$$

is ℓ -connected.

For [Theorem D](#), a morphism $g: X \rightarrow Y$ in an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} is ℓ -truncated if, for all $Z \in \mathcal{C}$, the map of spaces $\text{Map}(Z, X) \rightarrow \text{Map}(Z, Y)$ is ℓ -truncated, and $f: A \rightarrow B$ is ℓ -connected if, for all diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & X \\ f \downarrow & \nearrow h & \downarrow g \\ B & \longrightarrow & Y \end{array}$$

such that g is ℓ -truncated, the space of lifts h is contractible.

Remark 3. In the case that \mathcal{C} is an n -topos for some $0 \leq n \leq \infty$, the above definitions are equivalent to ℓ -truncatedness and $(\ell - 1)$ -connectiveness in the sense of [\[HTT, Def 6.5.1.10\]](#) by [\[SY19, Lem 4.2.6\]](#) and [\[HTT, Prop 6.5.1.12, Prop 6.5.1.19\]](#). \blacktriangleleft

Additionally, the S -indexed Wirthmüller map in a G - ∞ -category is defined to be the S -indexed semiad-ditive norm map as in [\[CLL24; Nar16\]](#); that is, the $[H/K]$ -indexed Wirthmüller map $W_{[H/K],X}: \text{Ind}_K^H X \rightarrow \text{CoInd}_K^H X$ is adjunct to the map

$$X \longrightarrow \text{Res}_K^H \text{CoInd}_K^H X \simeq \prod_{g \in [K \backslash H / K]} \text{CoInd}_{H \cap gKg^{-1}}^H \text{Res}_{H \cap gKg^{-1}}^H X$$

whose projection onto the factor indexed by the identity double coset is the identity and whose other projections are zero. The $\coprod_i [H/K_i]$ -indexed Wirthmüller map

$$W_{\coprod_i [H/K_i], (X_i)}: \prod_{K_i}^H X_i \simeq \prod_i \text{Ind}_{K_i}^H X_i \longrightarrow \prod_i \text{CoInd}_{K_i}^H X_i \simeq \prod_{K_i}^H X_i$$

is classified by the diagonal matrix whose i th entry is $W_{[H/K_i], X_i}$.

Remark 4. In the course of proving [Theorem D](#), we will verify that [Condition \(b\)](#) is further equivalent to the condition that the $\text{Coeff}^H \mathcal{C}$ -map underlying $W_{S,(X_K)}$ is pointwise ℓ -connected; moreover, [Condition \(c\)](#) is equivalent to the condition that the underlying H -space map is ℓ -connected, i.e. its associated maps on J -fixed point spaces are surjective on path components with ℓ -connected fiber for each $J \subset H$. \blacktriangleleft

The rest of this paper replaces the orbit category \mathcal{O}_G with an arbitrary atomic orbital ∞ -category \mathcal{T} ; we will prove [Theorems B](#) to [D](#) in that level of generality. We encourage the reader to either globally specialize to $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_G$ or familiarize themselves with the atomic orbital setting via [\[Ste25a\]](#).

Structural implications. The specialization of [Theorem B](#) to infinite tensor powers is the following.

Corollary 5. *Suppose \mathcal{O}^\otimes is an almost-reduced \mathcal{T} -operad. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (a) \mathcal{O}^\otimes is an almost-unital weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operad.
(b) $(\mathcal{O}^\otimes\text{-EHA})$ the unique map $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{T}}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ yields an equivalence

$$\mathcal{O}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{BV}} \text{triv}_{\mathcal{T}}^\otimes \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \text{can}} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{BV}} \mathcal{O}^\otimes.$$

- (c) (abstract \otimes -idempotence) there exists an equivalence $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{BV}} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{O}^\otimes$.

Proof. The implication (a) \implies (b) is one of the main results of [Ste25b], and is also implied by Theorem B. The implication (b) \implies (c) is obvious. To see the implication (c) \implies (a), note that Theorem B implies that \mathcal{O}^\otimes is ∞ -connected, i.e. all of its nonempty structure spaces are contractible. The result follows by the identification of such almost-reduced \mathcal{T} -operads with almost-unital weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads [Ste25a]. \square

To see why we may view Condition (b) as an *Eckmann-Hilton argument*, note that it is equivalent to the condition that \mathcal{O}^\otimes possesses a unital magma structure in $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^\otimes$ whose multiplication map $\mu: \mathcal{O}^\otimes \overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes} \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^\otimes$ is an equivalence; unitality of μ is precisely the condition that the associated diagonal natural transformation

$$\delta: \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$$

is split by restriction to either \mathcal{O} -algebra structure, and the fact that μ is an equivalence is precisely the condition that δ is a natural equivalence, i.e. pairs of interchanging \mathcal{O} -algebra structures agree, and there is one such pair for all \mathcal{O} -algebra structures.

On the other hand, Condition (b) is equivalent to the assertion that \mathcal{O}^\otimes admits a (unique) structure as an *idempotent algebra* in $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{auni}, \otimes}$; taking modules yields a bijective monotone correspondence between these and the smashing localizations on $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{auni}, \otimes}$ (see [GGN15, § 3] and [CSY20, § 5.1]).

Corollary 5 classifies smashing localizations on $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{auni}}$; define the full subcategory

$$\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{I\text{-Wirth}} := \left\{ \mathcal{O}^\otimes \mid \forall S \in \underline{\mathbb{F}}_I, \mathcal{C}^\otimes \in \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}}^\otimes, \bigotimes^S \simeq \bigsqcup^S \text{ in } \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \right\} \subset \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{auni}}.$$

In [Ste25b] we showed that this is the smashing localization for $\mathcal{N}_{I^\infty}^\otimes$ in order to compute tensor products of \mathcal{N}_∞ -operads. We also showed that idempotent algebras in $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{auni}}$ are almost-reduced, yielding the following.

Corollary E. *The construction $I \mapsto \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{I\text{-Wirth}}$ yields an isomorphism of posets*

$$\text{wIndex}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{auni}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ \text{Smashing localizations of } \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{auni}} \text{ under reverse inclusion} \right\}$$

A striking corollary of this is that there are finitely many smashing localizations on $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{auni}}$ [Ste24].

Consequences in algebraic topology. Let I be an indexing category and Sp_I be the ∞ -category presented by Blumberg-Hill's stable model category of I -spectra [BH21]. We say that an I -spectrum E is *connected* if $\pi_n(E) \simeq 0$ for all $n \leq 0$, i.e. it is the suspension of a connective I -spectrum. We see that *any* loop space theory with arity support I reaches connected I -spectra after infinite iteration.

Corollary 6. *If \mathcal{O}^\otimes is a reduced G -operad with $\mathcal{O}(2 \cdot *_G) \neq 0$ and X is a connected G -space with infinitely many interchanging \mathcal{O} -algebra structures, then X is the 0th G -space of an essentially unique connected \mathcal{AO} -spectrum compatibly with its $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes \infty}$ -structure.*

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes \infty} := \text{colim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{O}^{\otimes n}$ is abstractly $\overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes}$ -idempotent, so $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes \infty} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{AO}}^\otimes$ by Corollary 5, i.e.

$$(1) \quad \underline{\text{CAlg}}_{\mathcal{AO}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overbrace{\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes \cdots \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes}^{n\text{-fold}}(\mathcal{C}).$$

Moreover, given a model $\mathcal{P}^\otimes \in \text{Op}(\text{sSet}_{BG})$ for $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{AO}}^\otimes$, [Ste25b] and [Mar24] yield equivalences

$$\text{CAlg}_{\mathcal{AO}}(\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{G, \geq 1}^{G-x}) \simeq \text{CMon}_{\mathcal{AO}}(\mathcal{S}_{\geq 1}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\text{Top}_{G, \geq 1})[\text{WEQ}^{-1}]$$

over $\mathcal{S}_{G, \geq 1}$, the right hand side denoting the Hammock localization inverting the class of (point-set) \mathcal{P} -algebra morphisms whose underlying function of topological G -spaces is a G -weak equivalence.³ The defining equivalence $\text{Sp}_{\mathcal{AO}, \geq 0} \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\text{grplike}}(\text{Top}_G)[\text{WEQ}^{-1}]$ then embeds $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\text{Top}_{G, \geq 1})[\text{WEQ}^{-1}]$ as those \mathcal{AO} -spectra whose 0th G -space is connected; it follows by unwinding definitions that this is precisely $\text{Sp}_{\mathcal{AO}, \geq 1}$, so Eq. (1) restricts to an equivalence

$$\text{Sp}_{I, \geq 1} \simeq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \overbrace{\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}} \cdots \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes}^{n\text{-fold}}(\mathcal{S}_{G, \geq 1})$$

³ Here, $\text{sSet}_G := \text{sSet}^{BG}$ and $\text{Top}_G := \text{Top}^{BG}$ are the 1-categories of *simplicial sets* and *topological spaces* with G -action.

over $\mathcal{S}_{G, \geq 1}$. \square

To construct an infinite loop space theory for I -spectra, one is left with the following question.

Question 7. Given an indexing category I , does there exist a reduced G -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes with $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{O} = I$ and a space S^I such that \mathcal{O} -monoid structures on a connected G -space X are equivalent to S^I -loop space structures? \blacktriangleleft

Remark 8. We chose to specialize to the connected setting for convenience; one could instead assume that there exists some $\mu \in \mathcal{O}(2 \cdot *_G)$ whose action on one of the \mathcal{O} -structures on X induces an *invertible* magma structure on the coefficient system $\underline{\pi}_0 X$, in which case the corresponding $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{O}$ -commutative algebra has an underlying grouplike commutative monoid structure; the variation of [Corollary 6](#) follows *mutatis mutandis*. \blacktriangleleft

Additionally, we acquire Ω^V -spectrum structures in a wide variety of circumstances.

Corollary 9. Fix V an orthogonal G -representation. If \mathcal{O}^\otimes is an almost-reduced G -operad with $\mathcal{O}(S) \neq \emptyset$ whenever there exists an embedding $S \hookrightarrow \text{Res}_H^G V$ and X is a connected G -space admitting infinitely many interchanging \mathcal{O} -algebra structures, then X admits the structure of a V -infinite loop space.

Proof. The V -infinite loop space structure corresponds with the $\mathbb{E}_{\infty V}$ -structure pulled back along the unique map $\mathbb{E}_{\infty V}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{N}_{AV}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{AO}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{O}^{\otimes \infty}$ under the recognition principle of [\[GM17; RS00\]](#). \square

Sharpness. [Theorems B](#) and [C](#) are not sharp for all examples. One reason is the discrepancy between unions and joins of weak indexing systems.

Example 10. Given I an almost-unital weak indexing category, let $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \in \text{Op}_G$ be the corresponding weak \mathcal{N}_∞ -operad as in [\[Ste25a\]](#). Unwinding definitions, we find that

$$\text{Conn}_{\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}}(S) = \begin{cases} \infty & S \in \underline{\mathbb{F}}_I \\ -2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, we found in [\[Ste25b\]](#) that $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes} \mathcal{N}_{J\infty}^\otimes \simeq \mathcal{N}_{I \vee J \infty}^\otimes$. This demonstrates a failure of sharpness in [Theorem C](#); indeed, generically, we have

$$(\text{Conn}_{\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}} + \text{Conn}_{\mathcal{N}_{J\infty}} + 2)^{-1}(\infty) = \underline{\mathbb{F}}_I \cup \underline{\mathbb{F}}_J \subsetneq \underline{\mathbb{F}}_I \vee \underline{\mathbb{F}}_J = \text{Conn}_{\mathcal{N}_{I\infty} \otimes \mathcal{N}_{J\infty}}^{-1}(\infty). \quad \blacktriangleleft$$

Another issue is topological; in forthcoming work, given V an orthogonal G -representation, we will show that the little V -disks G -operad \mathbb{E}_V^\otimes is ℓ -connected at S if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) For all orbits $[H/K] \subset S$ and intermediate inclusions $K \subset J \subset H$, we have $\dim V^J \geq \dim V^K + \ell + 2$, and
- (b) if $|S^H| \geq 2$, then $\dim V^H \geq \ell + 2$.

Moreover, we will show that \mathbb{E}_V is additive under tensor products, i.e. $\mathbb{E}_V^\otimes \overset{\text{BV}}{\otimes} \mathbb{E}_W^\otimes \simeq \mathbb{E}_{V \oplus W}^\otimes$.

Example 11. Let $G := C_2$, with sign representation σ . Then, we have fixed point dimensions

$$\dim(a + b\sigma)^\ell = a + b; \quad \dim(a + b\sigma)^{C_2} = a.$$

In particular, the connectivity function has

$$\text{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_{a+b\sigma}}(k*_e) = a + b - 2$$

$$\text{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_{a+b\sigma}}(c*_C_2 + d[C_2/e]) = \begin{cases} a - 2 & d = 0 \\ b - 2 & c < 2 \\ \min(a, b) - 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$\text{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_{a+b\sigma}}(c*_C_2 + d[C_2/e])$ is as non-additive as is possible in the last case; indeed, the examples $1 + b\sigma$ and $a' + \sigma$ have the same arity-support, but when $a', b > 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Conn}_{1+b\sigma}(2*_C_2 + [C_2/e]) + \text{Conn}_{a'+\sigma}(2*_C_2 + [C_2/e]) - 2 &= 0 \\ &< \min(a', b) - 1 \\ &= \text{Conn}_{a'+1+(b+1)\sigma}(2*_C_2 + [C_2/e]). \end{aligned} \quad \blacktriangleleft$$

Nevertheless, equality is sometimes attained.

Example 12. For all orthogonal G -representations V , it follows from the above description that

$$\text{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_V \otimes \mathbb{E}_V} = \text{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_{2V}} = 2\text{Conn}_{\mathbb{E}_V} - 2. \quad \blacktriangleleft$$

The strategy. First, the tautological symmetric monoidal equivalence

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes} \simeq \lim_{V \in \mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Op}_V^{\otimes}$$

detects connectivity at an index, so we may assume without loss of generality that \mathcal{T} has a terminal object (and, in particular, it is a 1-category). Second, we have the following.

Lemma 13. *The following theorems imply each other:*

- (a) *Theorem B in all cases.*
- (b) *Theorem B in the case $A\mathcal{O} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{I_W}$ for some finite W -set $S \in \mathbb{F}_W$, where W is the terminal object of \mathcal{T} .*
- (c) *Theorem C.*

Proof. The implication (a) \implies (b) is obvious. The implication (b) \implies (c) follows by noting that, when $S \in \mathbb{F}_{A\mathcal{O}}$, the condition $\mathrm{Conn}_{\mathcal{O}}(S) \geq k$ is precisely the condition that the arity-Borelification $\mathrm{Bor}_{\mathbb{F}_S}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ is k -connected. The implication (c) \implies (a) follows by monotonicity the function

$$\min_{S \in \mathbb{F}_{A\mathcal{O}}} f(S): (\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\})^{\coprod_{V \in \mathcal{T}} \pi_0 \mathbb{F}_V} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}. \quad \square$$

We're left with proving **Theorem B** in the almost-unital case. We will perform a similar reduction to [SY19]; namely, by examining the free \mathcal{O} -algebra monad, we reduce this to $(k+1)$ -connectivity of the reduced endomorphism $A\mathcal{O}$ -operad in $\underline{\mathrm{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{I-x}$ in the case \mathcal{C} is the \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category of coefficient systems in a presheaf ∞ -topos.

We express the structure space $\mathrm{End}_X(\underline{\mathrm{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{I-x})(S)$ as the spaces of lifts of $\Delta: X^{\sqcup S} \rightarrow X$ along the S -indexed Wirthmüller map $W_{X,S}: X^{\sqcup S} \rightarrow X^{\times S}$, which is directly related to truncatedness of X and connectedness of $W_{X,S}$ [SY19]; hence it suffices to prove **Theorem D** in the almost-unital case.

We finish by directly relating ℓ -connectivity of $W_{X,S}$ in $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$ and $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C})$, reducing **Theorem D** to the fact that $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C})$ is I -semiadditive when \mathcal{O} is ℓ -connected at I , which we verified in [Ste25b].

Acknowledgements. This article is greatly influenced by the work of Schlank-Yanovski [SY19], which recovers almost all of the results and ideas in this article in the case that G is the trivial group, and has additionally been influential to my thinking in the previous articles [Ste25a; Ste25b]. In general, I'd like to thank my advisor Mike Hopkins for several helpful conversations on this material.

1. I -OPERADS

Throughout this article, we fix \mathcal{T} an atomic orbital ∞ -category in the sense of [NS22]; that is, we assume that all retracts in \mathcal{T} are equivalences and that the finite coproduct completion $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{T}^{\sqcup}$ has pullbacks.

We begin in **Section 1.1** by recalling the simultaneous generalization and weakening of Blumberg-Hill's G -indexing systems and I -Mackey functors to \mathcal{T} -weak indexing systems and I -commutative monoids. We go on to **Section 1.3** where we recall the relevant background from [NS22; Ste25a; Ste25b] on \mathcal{T} -operads, as well as establishing a few foundational results concerning the *doctrinal adjunction* and *reduced endomorphism I -operads*.

1.1. Preliminaries on \mathcal{T} - ∞ -categories and weak indexing systems. Recall that a \mathcal{T} -coefficient system is a functor out of $\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}}$:

$$\mathrm{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C}) := \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{T}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{C}).$$

Generalizing Elmendorf's theorem, we define d -truncated \mathcal{T} -spaces and \mathcal{T} - d -categories as coefficient systems:

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}, \leq d} := \mathrm{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq d}); \quad \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}, d} := \mathrm{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathrm{Cat}_d).$$

We write $\mathrm{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}} := \mathrm{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}, \infty}$ and $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}} := \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}, \leq \infty}$. Given a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , we write \mathcal{C}_V for the value $\mathcal{C}(V)$ and $\mathrm{Res}_V^W: \mathcal{C}_W \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V$ for the functoriality under a map $V \rightarrow W$. The ∞ -category of \mathcal{T} -coefficient systems lifts to a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category with V -value the \mathcal{T}_V -coefficient systems

$$\underline{\mathrm{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C})_V := \mathrm{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}_V}(\mathcal{C});$$

the functoriality is given by restriction. We acquire \mathcal{T} - ∞ -categories $\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{T}, \leq d}$ and $\underline{\mathrm{Cat}}_{\mathcal{T}, d}$ similarly.

Example 14. We may define a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category by $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ by values

$$(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}})_V := \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}/V} \simeq \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}/V}$$

with functoriality given by pullback. We write $\mathbb{F}_V := \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}/V}$. Note that this is a \mathcal{T} -1-category since \mathcal{T}/V is a 1-category [NS22, Prop 2.5.1]. \blacktriangleleft

Example 15. Given \mathcal{C} an arbitrary n -category, $\underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a \mathcal{T} - n -category [HTT, Cor 2.3.4.8]. In particular, if \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -topos and $\tau_{\leq n-1}\mathcal{C}$ its n -topos of $(n-1)$ -truncated objects, then $\underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}(\tau_{\leq n-1}\mathcal{C})$ is a \mathcal{T} - n -category. \blacktriangleleft

Example 16. The ∞ -category of \mathcal{T} - ∞ -categories is Cartesian closed with internal hom characterized by values

$$\underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})_V \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{T}/V}(\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{C}, \text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{D}),$$

where $\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}}: \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}/V}$ is pullback and $\text{Fun}_{\mathcal{T}}(-, -)$ denotes the evident ∞ -category of natural transformations [BDGNS16]. By unwinding definitions and applying [HTT, Cor 2.3.4.8], we find that whenever \mathcal{D} is a \mathcal{T} - n -category, $\underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D})$ is a \mathcal{T} - n -category. \blacktriangleleft

Example 17. We refer to the adjunction between limits and constant diagrams as the *inflation and fixed point adjunction*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} & \\ & \curvearrowright & \\ \text{Cat} & \perp & \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}} \\ & \curvearrowleft & \\ & \Gamma^{\mathcal{T}} & \end{array}$$

In the case that \mathcal{T} has a terminal object V , the image of $\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}}$ consists of the \mathcal{T} - ∞ -categories whose restriction functors $\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}}$ are all equivalences. In any case, we may string together natural equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}}K, \underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{C})_V &\simeq \text{Fun}_V(\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}/V}K, \underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}/V}\mathcal{C}) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}(K, \text{Fun}((\mathcal{T}/V)^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})) \\ &\simeq \text{Fun}((\mathcal{T}/V)^{\text{op}}, \text{Fun}(K, \mathcal{C})) \\ &\simeq \underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C}^K)_V \end{aligned}$$

to construct a \mathcal{T} -equivalence $\underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}}K, \underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C}^K)$; in particular, choosing $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{K}$, \mathcal{T} -coefficient systems in presheaves of spaces on K can equivalently be realized as \mathcal{T} -equivariant presheaves of \mathcal{T} -spaces on K with trivial \mathcal{T} -equivariant structure. We henceforth write

$$\underline{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{T}, \leq n}^K := \underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}^K); \quad \underline{\mathcal{S}}_{\mathcal{T}}^K := \underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{S}^K). \quad \blacktriangleleft$$

Given $V \in \mathcal{T}$ an orbit and $S \in \mathbb{F}_V$ a finite V -set, we write $\varphi_{SV}: \text{Ind}_V^{\mathcal{T}}S \rightarrow V$ for the corresponding map in $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$, and we write

$$\mathcal{C}_S := \prod_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)} \mathcal{C}_U \simeq \text{Fun}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Ind}_V^{\mathcal{T}}S, \mathcal{C}).$$

Pullback along the structure map φ_{SV} yields an *indexed diagonal functor*

$$\Delta^S: \mathcal{C}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_S;$$

its values are $\Delta^S X = (\text{Res}_U^V X)_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)}$. The S -indexed coproduct (if it exists) is the left adjoint $\coprod^S: \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V$ to Δ^S , and the S -indexed product $\prod^S: \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V$ is the right adjoint. These are the ur -examples of *equivariantly indexed operations*, whose combinatorics we control using *weak indexing systems*.

Definition 18. A *one-color weak indexing system* is a full \mathcal{T} -subcategory $\mathbb{F}_I \subset \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ which is closed under \mathbb{F}_I -indexed coproducts and contains \ast_V for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$. A *one-color weak indexing category* is a pullback-stable wide subcategory $I \subset \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$ subject to the condition that $\coprod_i (T_i \rightarrow S_i)$ lies in I if and only if each map $T_i \rightarrow S_i$ lies in I . \blacktriangleleft

Given I a one-color weak indexing category, we define the I -admissible V -sets as

$$\mathbb{F}_I := \{S \mid \text{Ind}_V^{\mathcal{T}}S \rightarrow V \in I\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}};$$

we verified in [Ste24] that $\mathbb{F}_{(-)}$ furnishes an equivalence between one-color weak indexing systems and one-color weak indexing categories, so we safely conflate these notions. For the following example, a full subcategory $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is called a \mathcal{T} -family if, whenever there exists a morphism $V \rightarrow W$ with $W \in \mathcal{F}$, we have $V \in \mathcal{F}$.

Example 19. The terminal one-color weak indexing system is $\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}$. We define the following other examples, where $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{T}$ is a fixed \mathcal{T} -family:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{F}_{\text{triv}})_V &:= \{*_V\} \\ (\mathbb{F}_{0,\mathcal{F}})_V &:= \begin{cases} \{\emptyset_V, *_V\} & V \in \mathcal{F} \\ \{*_V\} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \\ (\mathbb{F}_{\infty})_V &:= \{n \cdot *_V \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}. \end{aligned}$$

The corresponding one-color weak indexing categories are denoted $I_{\text{triv}}, I_{0,\mathcal{F}}, I_{\infty}$. ◀

Construction 20. We write

$$v(I) := \{V \in \mathcal{T} \mid \emptyset_V \in (\mathbb{F}_I)_V\} \subset \mathcal{T}.$$

This is a \mathcal{T} -family, called the *unit family* of I [Ste24]. ◀

We say that \mathbb{F}_I is *almost-unital* if, whenever $\{*_V\} \subsetneq \mathbb{F}_{I,V}$, we have $\emptyset_V \in \mathbb{F}_{I,V}$; that is, \mathbb{F}_I is unital over all orbits for which \mathbb{F}_I has nontrivial arities. We say \mathbb{F}_I is *unital* if $\emptyset_V \in \mathbb{F}_{I,V}$ for all V .

1.2. Preliminaries on I -commutative monoids and I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories. Let I be a one-color weak indexing category. The pair $(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}, I)$ is a *span pair* in the sense of [EH23] (i.e. $(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}, I, I)$ is an *adequate triple* in the sense of [Bar14]), so it yields a wide subcategory

$$\text{Span}_I(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}) \hookrightarrow \text{Span}(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}})$$

of the effective Burnside ∞ -category whose morphisms are given by spans $X \leftarrow R \xrightarrow{f} Y$ with $f \in I$. Given I a one-color weak indexing category and \mathcal{C} an ∞ -category, we define the ∞ -category of *I -commutative monoids* in \mathcal{C} as

$$\text{CMon}_I(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Fun}^\times(\text{Span}_I(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}), \mathcal{C}).$$

We define the ∞ -category of *small I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories* as

$$\text{Cat}_I^\otimes := \text{CMon}_I(\text{Cat}).$$

We henceforth ignore size issues and omit the adjective ‘‘small.’’ Given an I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and $S \in \mathbb{F}_{I,V}$ an I -admissible V -set, we denote the functoriality of \mathcal{C}^\otimes under the structure map $\text{Ind}_S^{\mathcal{T}} S = \text{Ind}_S^{\mathcal{T}} S \rightarrow V$ by

$$\bigotimes_S^{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{C}_S \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V.$$

If I is almost-unital, $S \in \mathbb{F}_{I,V}$ is I -admissible, and $1_U \in \mathcal{C}_U$ is initial whenever it exists, then given an S -indexed tuple $(X_U) \in \mathcal{C}_S$ in an I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category with S -indexed coproducts, we define an *S -indexed tensor Wirthmüller map*

$$W_{S,(X_U)}: \coprod_U^S X_U \longrightarrow \bigotimes_U^S X_U$$

by defining its composite map $\text{Ind}_W^V X_W \hookrightarrow \coprod_U^S X_U \rightarrow \bigotimes_U^S X_U$ to be adjunct to the map

$$X_W \simeq X_W \otimes \bigotimes_W^{\text{Res}_W^V S \rightarrow *_W} 1_U \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \eta)} X_W \otimes \bigotimes_U^{\text{Res}_U^V S \rightarrow *_W} X_U \simeq \text{Res}_U^V \bigotimes_U^S X_U;$$

intuitively, on the W 'th factor, $W_{S,(X_U)}$ takes x to the simple tensor with x in the W 'th place and units elsewhere. Given $J \subset I$, we say that \mathcal{C} is *J -cocartesian* if $W_{S,(X_U)}$ is an equivalence for all $S \in \mathbb{F}_J$ and $(X_U) \in \mathcal{C}_S$, and we say that \mathcal{C} is *J -cartesian* if its ‘‘vertical opposite’’

$$\text{Span}_I(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{C}^\otimes} \text{Cat} \xrightarrow{\text{op}} \text{Cat}$$

is a J -cocartesian I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category..

In [Ste25b], given \mathcal{C} a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category with I -indexed (co)products, we constructed essentially unique (co)cartesian I -symmetric monoidal structures on \mathcal{C} and verified that \mathcal{C} is I -semiadditive in the sense of [CLL24] if and only if there exists an equivalence $\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{I-\times}$, which can be chosen (uniquely) to lie over the identity endofunctor.

1.3. Preliminaries on I -operads. In [NS22], an ∞ -category $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of \mathcal{T} -operads was introduced, and in [Ste25a; Ste25b] it was given a symmetric monoidal closed \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category structure $\underline{\text{Op}}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}$. We review the relevant formal properties here; in particular, outside of a small part of the verification of another formal property in Proposition 36, we will only use formal properties of $\underline{\text{Op}}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}$, instead probing its objects via the various functors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}} & \xrightarrow{\text{sseq}} & \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{S}) \\ & \swarrow U & \downarrow \text{Alg}_{(-)}(\mathcal{C}) & \searrow \text{Alg}_p(-) & \\ \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}} & & \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}} & & \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}} \end{array}$$

In this way, this paper can be considered agnostic to the presentation of $\underline{\text{Op}}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}$ and the above functors.

1.3.1. \mathcal{T} -symmetric sequences and I -operads. Writing $\underline{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{T}}$ for the composite \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category

$$\mathcal{T}^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}}} \text{Cat} \xrightarrow{(-)^{\times}} \mathcal{S} \hookrightarrow \text{Cat}$$

and writing $\text{Tot}: \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}} \simeq \text{Cat}_{/\mathcal{T}^{\text{op}}}^{\text{cocart}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}$ for the total category functor, in [Ste25a] we defined a *underlying \mathcal{T} -symmetric sequence* functor

$$\mathcal{O}(-): \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{S}).$$

To characterize this, we need a definition.

Definition 21. We say that an I -operad \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} has at least one color if $\mathcal{O}(*_V) \neq \emptyset$ for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$ and has one color if $\mathcal{O}(*_V) \simeq *$ for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$, ◀

Proposition 22 ([Ste25a]). *The functor $\mathcal{O}(-): \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{S})$ has a left adjoint Fr ; in particular, letting $\text{Fr}_{\text{Op}}(\mathcal{S})$ be the free \mathcal{T} -operad on the left Kan extended \mathcal{T} -symmetric sequence*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \{S\} & \xrightarrow{*} & \mathcal{S} \\ \downarrow & \Downarrow & \uparrow \\ \text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{T}} & & \text{Fr}_{\Sigma, S}(* \end{array}$$

the adjunctions construct a natural equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\text{Fr}_{\text{Op}}(\mathcal{S})}(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{S}).$$

Moreover, the restricted functor $\mathcal{O}(-): \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{oc}} \rightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{T}}, \mathcal{S})$ is monadic.

In particular, identifying an object of $\text{Tot}\underline{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{T}}$ with a pair (V, S) where $V \in \mathcal{T}$ and $S \in \mathbb{F}_V$, \mathcal{T} -operads are identified conservatively by the functor

$$\mathcal{O} \mapsto \prod_{V, S} \mathcal{O}(S).$$

Intuitively, we view $\mathcal{O}(S)$ as the space of S -ary operations $(\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} X)^{\otimes S} \rightarrow \text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} X$ borne by an \mathcal{O} -algebra X . This technology allowed us to define the *arity support* functor

$$A\mathcal{O} := \left\{ T \rightarrow S \mid \prod_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)} \mathcal{O}(T \times_S U) \neq \emptyset \right\} \subset \mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}};$$

which we verified in [Ste25a] to be a weak indexing category. In fact, we verified that the essential surjection associated with A possesses a fully faithful right adjoint

$$(2) \quad \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{A} \\ \dashv \text{wIndexCat}_{\mathcal{T}} \\ \xleftarrow{\mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty}^{\otimes}} \end{array}$$

we refer to the \mathcal{T} -operad $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes$ as the *weak \mathcal{N}_{∞} -operad associated with I* . Now, we further verified in [Ste25a] that, given a \mathcal{T} -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes , the unique map $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Comm}_{\mathcal{T}}^\otimes$ is a monomorphism if and only if the counit map $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{A\mathcal{O}}^\otimes$ is an equivalence; in particular, we acquire an equality of full subcategories

$$\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},/\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes} = A^{-1}(\text{wIndexCat}_{\mathcal{T},\leq I}) \subset \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}},$$

and a full subcategory of $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$ has a terminal object if and only if it is of this form. We refer to $\text{Op}_I := \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},/\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes}$ as the ∞ -category of I -operads; see [Ste25a] for an intrinsic characterization of Op_I .

Monomorphisms are right-cancellable, so all inclusions $I \subset J$ induce monomorphisms $i_I^J: \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{J\infty}^\otimes$; in other words, the push-pull adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{E_I^J = i_I^J} & \\ \text{Op}_I & \perp & \text{Op}_J \\ & \xleftarrow{\text{Bor}_I^J = i_I^{J*}} & \end{array}$$

witnesses $\text{Op}_I \subset \text{Op}_J$ as a colocalizing subcategory. Moreover, it behaves well with \otimes^{BV} .

Proposition 23 ([Ste25a]). *Suppose $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ have at least one color. Then, there is an equality*

$$A(\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}) \simeq A\mathcal{O} \vee A\mathcal{P}.$$

In particular, $\text{Op}_I \subset \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is a symmetric monoidal full subcategory.

1.3.2. *I-symmetric monoidal categories and \mathcal{O} -algebras.* [NS22] constructed a (non-full) subcategory inclusion

$$\iota: \text{Cat}_I^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}};$$

\mathcal{T} -operad maps between I -symmetric monoidal categories are called *lax I -symmetric monoidal functors*, and morphisms in the image of ι are called *I -symmetric monoidal functors*.

Moreover, given $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{C}^\otimes \in \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$, we define *\mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{C}^\otimes* to be \mathcal{T} -operad maps $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$, which naturally fit into an ∞ -category $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$. These have a *pointwise \mathcal{T} -operad structure* $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ given by the internal hom in a presentably symmetric monoidal structure on $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$, whose tensor product we write as \otimes^{BV} [Ste25a; Ste25b]. The unit for this symmetric monoidal structure is the \mathcal{T} -operad $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{T}}^\otimes := \mathcal{N}_{I\text{triv}\infty}^\otimes$ [Ste25a], i.e. there is a canonical equivalence

$$(3) \quad \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{triv}_{\mathcal{T}}}^\otimes(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathcal{O}^\otimes$$

Moreover, we verified in [Ste25a] that whenever \mathcal{C}^\otimes is an I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$ is as well, and given a \mathcal{T} -operad map $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ and an I -symmetric monoidal functor $\mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\otimes$, the induced lax I -symmetric monoidal functors

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}); \quad \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{D})$$

are I -symmetric monoidal. In particular, when \mathcal{C}^\otimes is an I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and $\mathcal{O}^\otimes, \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ are I -operads, there are natural I -symmetric monoidal equivalence

$$(4) \quad \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O} \otimes \mathcal{P}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$$

1.3.3. *The underlying \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category.* An I -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes has an underlying \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category $U\mathcal{O}$ [NS22]; indeed, \mathcal{T} -operads are equivariantizations of the classical notions of *colored operads*, and $U\mathcal{O}$ the ∞ -category of colors. Moreover, the composite functor $\text{Cat}_I^\otimes \rightarrow \text{Op}_I \xrightarrow{U} \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is the usual *underlying \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category* functor.

U behaves well with respect to $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes$; indeed, we verified in [Ste25a] that the underlying \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category has values

$$U(\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}))_V \simeq \text{Alg}_{\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{O}}(\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}),$$

where $\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}}: \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_V$ is a restriction functor, and furthermore

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \Gamma^{\mathcal{T}} U \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}).$$

It was observed in [NS22] that the composite functor $\text{Op}_{I^{\text{triv}}} \subset \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}} \xrightarrow{U} \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}}$ is an equivalence, and that U factors as $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}} \xrightarrow{\text{Bor}_{I^{\text{triv}}}^{\text{triv}}} \text{Op}_{I^{\text{triv}}} \simeq \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}}$. We write $\text{triv}(-)^{\otimes}$ for the composite functor

$$\text{triv}(-)^{\otimes}: \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}} \xrightarrow{U^{-1}} \text{Op}_{I^{\infty}} \hookrightarrow \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}};$$

unwinding definitions, we find that there is a natural equivalence

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{triv}(\mathcal{C})}(\mathcal{O}) \simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\mathcal{C}, U\mathcal{O});$$

that is, $\text{triv}(\mathcal{C})$ algebras are simply \mathcal{C} -indexed diagrams of objects.

1.3.4. *\mathcal{T} -operadic inflation and fixed points.* In [Ste25a] we constructed an equivalence

$$\varphi: \text{Op}_{I^{\infty}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}} \text{Op}$$

exhibiting natural equivalences $\varphi\mathcal{O}_V(n) \simeq \mathcal{O}(n \cdot *_V)$. Limits and constant diagrams yields an *inflation and fixed point* adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Op} & \xrightarrow{\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}}} & \text{Op}_{I^{\infty}} & \xrightarrow{E_{I^{\infty}}^{\mathcal{T}}} & \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}} \\ & \lrcorner & \lrcorner & \lrcorner & \\ & \Gamma^{\mathcal{T}} & & \text{Bor}_{I^{\infty}}^{\mathcal{T}} & \end{array}$$

we refer to the composite adjunction $\text{Op} \rightleftarrows \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$ also as $\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} \dashv \Gamma^{\mathcal{T}}$. For instance we have

$$(5) \quad \text{Alg}_{\text{Infl}(\mathcal{O})}(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\Gamma^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{P});$$

moreover, we can identify the image of $\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}}$ easily: they are the I^{∞} -operads \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} whose underlying \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category is inflated and whose restriction maps

$$\mathcal{O}(C; D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\text{Res}_U^V C; \text{Res}_U^V D)$$

are all equivalences.

Example 24. The above description yields a natural equivalence $\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}}(\text{triv}(\mathcal{C})^{\otimes}) \simeq \text{triv}(\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C})^{\otimes}$. ◀

Example 25. The \mathcal{T} -operads $\mathbb{E}_0^{\otimes} := \mathcal{N}_{I_0, \mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}$ and $\mathbb{E}_{\infty}^{\otimes} := \mathcal{N}_{I^{\infty}}^{\otimes}$ are inflated from operads of the same names; in particular, unwinding definitions, we may identify \mathbb{E}_0 -algebras by the formula

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathbb{E}_0}(\mathcal{C})_V \simeq \mathcal{C}_{V, 1_V}.$$

If 1_V is terminal for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$, then this is the \mathcal{T} -category of pointed objects \mathcal{C}_* . ◀

1.3.5. *Unital I -operads.* Assume that I is an almost unital weak indexing category. In [Ste25b] we introduced the following gamut of definitions, each of which will be useful.

Definition 26. We say that an I -operad \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}

- is *almost unital* if it has at least one color and whenever there exists some $S \in \mathbb{F}_V$ such that $\mathcal{O}(S) \neq \emptyset$, we have $\mathcal{O}(\emptyset_V) \simeq *$,
- is *unital* if it has at least one color and $\mathcal{O}(\emptyset_V) \simeq \mathcal{N}_{I^{\infty}}(\emptyset_V)$ for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$, and
- is *almost reduced* if it is almost unital and has one color, and
- is *reduced* if it is unital and has one color. ◀

A \mathcal{T} -operad is almost unital if and only if it's a unital I -operad for *some* almost-unital weak indexing category I . For this reason, we'll usually focus on either unital I -operads or almost-unital \mathcal{T} -operads. It will be important to keep the I -symmetric monoidal case in mind.

Example 27. We verified in [Ste25b] that an I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} is a unital I -operad if and only if, for all $V \in \nu(I)$, the unit object $1_V \in \mathcal{C}_V$ is initial. ◀

Write $\mathbb{E}_{0, \nu(I)}^{\otimes} := \mathcal{N}_{I_0, \nu(I)}^{\otimes}$. We will largely use the following result of [Ste25b] to access unital I -operads.

Proposition 28 ([Ste25b]). *The full subcategory $\text{Op}_I^{\text{uni}} \subset \text{Op}_I$ of unital I -operads is both a localizing and colocalizing subcategory, i.e. the inclusion participates in a double adjunction*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{(-) \otimes_{\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^{\otimes}} \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^{\text{BV}}} & \\ \text{Op}_I & \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \end{array} & \text{Op}_I^{\text{uni}} \\ & \xleftarrow{\text{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^{\otimes}}(-)} & \end{array}$$

In particular, if \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} and \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} are unital, then there are natural equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) &\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P} \otimes_{\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^{\otimes}}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}); \\ \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}) &\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^{\otimes}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{D}). \end{aligned}$$

We accomplished this in part by recognizing an equality of full subcategories $\text{Op}_I^{\text{uni}} = \text{Op}_I^{I_{0,v(I)}\text{-Wirth}}$; that is, an I -operad is unital if and only if its I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories of algebras have V -units which are initial for each $V \in v(I)$, which is true if and only if they are unital by [Example 27](#). Moreover, since the \otimes -unit $\text{triv}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\otimes}$ is initial among one color I -operads, this yields the following easy corollary.

Corollary 29. $\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^{\otimes}$ is initial among reduced I -operads.

Op_I^{red} has initial unit object; interestingly, it has *absorptive* terminal object.

Proposition 30 ([Ste25b]). *If \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is a unital I -operad, then the map $\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ induces a (unique) equivalence*

$$\mathcal{N}_{I_{\infty}}^{\otimes} \simeq \mathcal{N}_{I_{\infty}}^{\otimes} \otimes_{\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^{\otimes}} \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^{\text{BV}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{N}_{I_{\infty}}^{\otimes} \otimes_{\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^{\otimes}} \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}.$$

1.3.6. *Cartesian and cocartesian I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories.* In [Ste25b], given \mathcal{C} a \mathcal{T} - ∞ -category with I -indexed (co)products, we defined *cocartesian* and *cartesian* I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories $\mathcal{C}^{I\text{-}\sqcup}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{I\text{-}\times}$, which are determined by the properties that their I -indexed tensor products are canonically equivalent to indexed (co)products. We gave algebras in cartesian I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories an explicit presentation generalizing the \mathcal{O} -monoids of [HA] (as \mathcal{T} -functors satisfying ‘‘Segal conditions’’) which we will not mention explicitly here; as a relic of this, we will simply use the notation

$$(6) \quad \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}) := \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}^{I\text{-}\times}); \quad \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}) := \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{D}^{I\text{-}\times}).$$

The associated I -symmetric monoidal structure is cartesian [Ste25b]. When \mathcal{C} is an ∞ -category, we will write

$$(7) \quad \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) := \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}); \quad \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}).$$

instead we will use their monadic presentation, which goes as follows.

Proposition 31 ([Ste25a]). *Suppose \mathcal{C} is a presentable and cartesian closed ∞ -category. Then, the monad $T_{\mathcal{O}}$ associated with the monadic functor $\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Coeff}}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}$ has fixed points*

$$(T_{\mathcal{O}}X)^W \simeq \coprod_{S \in \mathbb{F}_{I,W}} \left(\text{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{O}(S) \times \prod_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)} X^U \right)_{h\text{Aut}_W(S)},$$

where $\text{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}}: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is the unique left adjoint sending $*$ to the terminal object of \mathcal{C} .

Moreover, in the case that \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is unital, we characterized cocartesian algebras simply as diagrams

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}^{I\text{-}\sqcup}) \simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(U\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{C})^{I\text{-}\sqcup};$$

in fact, $\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}$ still exists as an I -operad with the above algebras in when \mathcal{C} is not assumed to have I -indexed coproducts. In particular, in the unital case, we acquire a double adjunction

$$(8) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \text{triv}(-)^{\otimes} \overset{BV}{\otimes} \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)} & \\ \curvearrowright & \downarrow \perp & \curvearrowleft \\ \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T}} & \leftarrow \mathcal{U} & \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}^{\text{uni}} \\ \curvearrowleft & \downarrow \perp & \curvearrowright \\ & (-)^{I-\sqcup} & \end{array}$$

Example 32. In [Ste25b] we gave a general formula for $\mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}$, but the mapping-in property makes it easy enough to determine this in the case that \mathcal{C} : there is an equivalence

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(*_T^{I-\sqcup}) \simeq * \simeq \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes}),$$

natural in the unital I -operad \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} , constructing an equivalence $\mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes} \simeq *_T^{I-\sqcup}$ by Yoneda's lemma. \triangleleft

1.3.7. *I - d -operads.* In [Ste25a], we defined the full subcategory $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},d} \subset \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$ of \mathcal{T} - d -operads to be those such that $\mathcal{O}(S)$ is a $(d-1)$ -truncated space for all $S \in \underline{\mathbb{F}}_{AO}$, and verified the following.

Proposition 33 ([Ste25a]). *Fix $d \geq -1$ and $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \in \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$.*

(1) *The inclusion $\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},d} \subset \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}$ has a left adjoint $h_d: \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},d}$ satisfying*

$$h_d \mathcal{O}(S) \simeq \tau_{\leq d-1} \mathcal{O}(S).$$

(2) *The unit of the h_0 -localization adjunction is the map $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{AO}^{\otimes}$; in particular, $\mathcal{N}_{(-)\infty}^{\otimes}$ factors through an equivalence*

$$\text{wIndexCat}_{\mathcal{T}} \simeq \text{Op}_{\mathcal{T},0}.$$

(3) *When \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} is a \mathcal{T} - d -operad, there is a natural equivalence*

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{P}) \simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{h_d \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{P}),$$

and each are \mathcal{T} - d -operads.

(4) *An I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} is a \mathcal{T} - d -operad if and only if UC is a \mathcal{T} - d -category.*

We call $h_d \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}$ the *homotopy d -operad of \mathcal{O}^{\otimes}* .

1.3.8. *\mathcal{O} -algebras in I -symmetric monoidal 1-categories.* Fix \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} an I -symmetric monoidal 1-category; in light of **Proposition 33**, to characterize \mathcal{O} -algebras in \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} , we may equivalently characterise $h_1 \mathcal{O}$ -algebras in \mathcal{C} , so assume \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is an I -1-operad, i.e. its structure spaces are sets.

We gave a simple combinatorial model for I -1-operads in [Ste25a], which we will not relitigate here, instead focusing only on algebras. Given a \mathcal{T} -object $X \in \Gamma^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}$, we defined the *unreduced endomorphism I -operad* $\text{End}_X(\mathcal{C})$ as a one-colored I -1-operad with structure sets

$$\text{End}_X(\mathcal{C})(S) \simeq \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_V}(X_{\underline{V}}^{\otimes S}, X_{\underline{V}}),$$

where $X_{\underline{V}} \in \mathcal{C}_V$ is the V -object underlying X . 1-categorical algebras take a familiar form.

Proposition 34 ([Ste25a]). *Given $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \in \text{Op}_{I,1}^{\text{oc}}$, $\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}}(\mathcal{C})$ is a 1-category whose objects are pairs $(X \in \Gamma^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}, \varphi: \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \text{End}_X(\mathcal{C}))$ and whose morphisms are $\Gamma^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}$ -maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that the corresponding diagram commutes*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \text{End}_X(\mathcal{C}) & \\ & \nearrow & \downarrow \text{End}_f \\ \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} & & \text{End}_Y(\mathcal{C}) \end{array}$$

Moreover, we may exploit this to explicitly describe interchange.

Corollary 35 ([Ste25a]). *Given $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes}, \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \in \text{op}_{I,1}^{\text{oc}}$, an $\mathcal{O} \overset{BV}{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ -algebra structure on X is precisely a pair of \mathcal{O} -algebra and \mathcal{P} -algebra structures such that, for all $\mu \in \mathcal{O}(S)$, the corresponding \mathcal{C} -map $X_{\underline{V}}^{\otimes S} \rightarrow X_{\underline{V}}$ is a morphism of \mathcal{P} -algebras; a morphism of $\mathcal{O} \overset{BV}{\otimes} \mathcal{P}$ -algebras is a $\Gamma^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C}$ -map which is separately an \mathcal{O} -algebra and \mathcal{P} -algebra morphism.*

1.4. The doctrinal adjunction. The following proposition will play a crucial role in constructing I -symmetric monoidal left adjoints. We temporarily assume that the reader is familiar with [Ste25a, § 2].

Proposition 36 (Doctrinal adjunction). *Suppose $L^\otimes: \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^\otimes$ is an I -symmetric monoidal functor whose underlying \mathcal{T} -functor L admits a right adjoint R . Then, R lifts to a canonical lax I -symmetric monoidal right adjoint $R^\otimes \vdash L^\otimes$. Moreover, for any \mathcal{T} -operad \mathcal{O}^\otimes the postcomposition lax I -symmetric monoidal functors partake in a lax I -symmetric monoidal adjunction*

$$L_*^\otimes: \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightleftarrows \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{D}): R_*^\otimes$$

such that L_*^\otimes is I -symmetric monoidal. If R^\otimes is symmetric monoidal then R_*^\otimes is symmetric monoidal; if R is also fully faithful, then R_*^\otimes is fully faithful.

Proof. Applying [HA, Prop 7.3.2.6] to the fibrations on opposite categories, we acquire a right adjoint $R^\otimes \vdash L^\otimes$ relative to $\text{Span}_I(\mathbb{F}_{\mathcal{T}})$. Moreover, an identical argument to [HA, Cor 7.3.2.7] shows that R^\otimes preserves cocartesian lifts for inert morphisms. The lax I -symmetric monoidal functors L_*^\otimes and R_*^\otimes are then constructed in [Ste25a], where postcomposition along an I -symmetric monoidal functor is verified to be I -symmetric monoidal; in particular, L_*^\otimes is always I -symmetric monoidal and R_*^\otimes is I -symmetric monoidal whenever R^\otimes is.

Note that postcomposition along the unit and counit data for $L^\otimes \dashv R^\otimes$ yield unit and counit data for L_*^\otimes and R_*^\otimes in any case. When R^\otimes, L^\otimes are symmetric monoidal and R is fully faithful, the counit $\varepsilon: L^\otimes R^\otimes \mathcal{C}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^\otimes$ is an I -symmetric monoidal functor whose underlying \mathcal{T} -functor is an equivalence, so ε is an I -symmetric monoidal equivalence; in particular, this implies that the counit of $L_*^\otimes \dashv R_*^\otimes$ is an equivalence, so R_*^\otimes is fully faithful. \square

1.5. Recognizing h_{n+1} -equivalences. Theorem D recognizes morphisms of \mathcal{T} -operads which become equivalences after applying h_{n+1} , so we now spell out some of its antecedents.

Proposition 37. *Let $\varphi: \mathcal{O}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\otimes$ be a morphism of \mathcal{T} -operads. The following are equivalent:*

(a) *for all $S \in \underline{\mathbb{F}}_{A\mathcal{O}} \cup \underline{\mathbb{F}}_{A\mathcal{P}}$, the map of spaces*

$$\varphi(S): \mathcal{O}(S) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)$$

is an n -equivalence;

(b) *φ is an h_{n+1} -equivalence;*

(c) *for all \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal $(n+1)$ -categories \mathcal{C} , the pullback \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal functor*

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^\otimes(\mathcal{C})$$

is an equivalence;

(d) *the pullback functor*

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}) \rightarrow \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})$$

is an equivalence; and

(e) *for all ∞ -categories K , the pullback map of spaces*

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}^K) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}^K)$$

is an equivalence.

To prove this, we apply the following lemma.

Lemma 38. *Given a \mathcal{T} -operad \mathcal{P}^\otimes and a pair of ∞ -categories \mathcal{D}, K such that \mathcal{D} admits finite products, there is an equivalence*

$$\underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D}^K) \simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Infl}_e^{\mathcal{T}} K, \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D})),$$

natural in functors of K , product-preserving functors of \mathcal{D} , and \mathcal{T} -operad maps of \mathcal{P} ; in particular, taking \mathcal{T} -fixed points yields a natural equivalence of categories

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D}^K) \simeq \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D})^K.$$

Proof. We construct a chain of equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}
\underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D}^K) &\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^T(\mathcal{D}^K)^{T^{-x}}) && \text{Eqs. (6) and (7)} \\
&\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}} \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Infl}_e^T K, \underline{\text{Coeff}}^T \mathcal{D})^{T^{-x}} && \text{Example 17} \\
&\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{triv}(\text{Infl}_e^T K)}^{\otimes}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^T \mathcal{D}^{T^{-x}}) && \text{Eq. (3)} \\
&\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{Infl}_e^T \text{triv}(K)}^{\otimes}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^T \mathcal{D}^{T^{-x}}) && \text{Example 24} \\
&\simeq \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\text{Infl}_e^T \text{triv}(K)} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}^{\otimes}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^T \mathcal{D}^{T^{-x}}) && \text{Eq. (4)} \\
&\simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Infl}_e^T K, \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\underline{\text{Coeff}}^T, \mathcal{D}^{T^{-x}})) && \text{Eq. (5)} \\
&\simeq \underline{\text{Fun}}_{\mathcal{T}}(\text{Infl}_e^T K, \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{D})) && \text{Eqs. (6) and (7)}
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining equivalence follows by noting that $\Gamma^T \text{Infl}_e^T \mathcal{C} \simeq \mathcal{C}$, naturally in \mathcal{C} . \square

Proof of Proposition 37. A generalization of the equivalence between Conditions (a) to (d) was proved in [Ste25a], and Condition (c) clearly implies Condition (e). Moreover, fixing $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{S}_{\leq n}$ and taking cores of Lemma 38 yields a natural equivalence

$$\underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}^K) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Cat}}(K, \underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n}))$$

so Condition (e) and Yoneda's lemma together imply Condition (d). \square

We say that \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is *n-connected* if the unique map $\mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_{AP}^{\otimes}$ is an h_{n+1} -equivalence. In [Ste25b] we acquired the following additional characterizations for *n-connected* \mathcal{T} -operads:

Proposition 39. *Suppose \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is an almost-unital \mathcal{T} -operad. Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (b') \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is *n-connected*.
- (f') For all AO-symmetric monoidal $(n+1)$ -categories \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} , the AO-symmetric monoidal $(n+1)$ -category $\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ is cocartesian.
- (g') The \mathcal{T} - $(n+1)$ -category $\underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{S}_{\leq n})$ is AO-semiadditive.

1.6. The reduced endomorphism I -operad as a right adjoint. In [Ste25b], we introduced the *reduced endomorphism I -operad* of a \mathcal{T} -operad for the purpose of lifting the disintegration and assembly process of [HA]. In this section, we gain explicit computational control over reduced endomorphism I -operads of unital I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -categories.

Proposition 40. *The inclusion $\text{Op}_I^{\text{red}} \simeq \text{Op}_{I, \mathbb{E}_{0, \nu(I)}}^{\text{red}} \hookrightarrow \text{Op}_{I, \mathbb{E}_{0, \nu(I)}}^{\text{uni}}$ has a right adjoint computed by the pullback*

$$(9) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_X^{I, \text{red}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \eta \\ \mathcal{N}_{I\infty}^{\otimes} & \xrightarrow{\{X\}} & \mathcal{O}^{T-\sqcup} \end{array}$$

In the case that \mathcal{C}^{\otimes} is a unital I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and $X \in \mathcal{C}_V$ is a V -object, mapping in from the free unital I -operad $\text{Fr}_{\text{Op}}(S) \otimes^{\text{BV}} \mathbb{E}_{0, \nu(I)}$ on an operation in arity $S \in \mathbb{F}_{I, V}$ yields a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{End}_X^{I, \text{red}}(S) & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_V}(X^{\otimes S}, X) \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow W_{S, X}^* \\ \{\nabla\} & \longrightarrow & \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_V}(X^{\sqcup S}, X) \end{array}$$

i.e. $\text{End}_X^{I,\text{red}}(S)$ is equivalent to the space of lifts along the following dashed arrow in \mathcal{C}_V

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^{\sqcup S} & \xrightarrow{\nabla} & X \\ W_{S,X} \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow ! \\ X^{\otimes S} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & * \end{array}$$

Proof. We will apply the general reduction procedure of [SY19, Prop 2.1.5], applied to the *sliced* adjunction

$$U_* : \text{Op}_{I, \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^\otimes}^{\text{uni}} \rightleftarrows \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T},*} : \eta^*(-)^{I-\sqcup},$$

whose right adjoint is $(-)^{I-\sqcup}$ together with the precomposed structure map

$$\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^\otimes \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}^\otimes \simeq *_T^{I-\sqcup} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{I-\sqcup}.$$

Indeed, $\text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T},*}$ admits an initial object $*_{\mathcal{T}} \simeq U\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}$, and $\text{Op}_{I, \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^\otimes}^\otimes$ admits all limits, which are preserved by U since it is a right adjoint by Eq. (8). Moreover, $\mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)} \in \text{Op}_I^{\text{red}}$ is initial by Corollary 29, there is a unique equivalence $\mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}^\otimes \simeq *_T^{I-\sqcup}$ by Eq. (2) and Example 32, and $\mathcal{O}^\otimes \in \text{Op}_{I, \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}^\otimes}^{\text{uni}}$ corresponds with a reduced I -operad if and only if $U\mathcal{O}^\otimes \in \text{Cat}_{\mathcal{T},*}$ is initial, so the first claim follows by [SY19, Prop 2.1.5].

To acquire the second pullback square, one need only note that the natural equivalences

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}} \left(\text{Fr}_{\text{Op}}(S) \otimes^{\text{BV}} \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}, \mathcal{C}^\otimes \right) &\simeq \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}_V} (X^{\otimes S}, X), \\ \text{Map}_{\text{Op}_{\mathcal{T}}} \left(\text{Fr}_{\text{Op}}(S) \otimes^{\text{BV}} \mathbb{E}_{0,v(I)}, \mathcal{N}_{I_\infty}^\otimes \right) &\simeq * \end{aligned}$$

follow by Propositions 22 and 28. What remains is to verify that the right vertical arrow is $W_{S,X}^*$ and the bottom arrow includes the fold map ∇ ; both facts were verified in [Ste25b]. \square

In fact, [SY19, Prop 4.2.8] introduced a result on connectivity of such spaces of lifts, immediately yielding the following corollary.

Corollary 41. *If $X \in \mathcal{C}_V$ is a $(k + \ell + 2)$ -truncated object and the Wirthmüller map $W_{S,X} : X^{\sqcup S} \rightarrow X^{\otimes S}$ is ℓ -connected, then the space $\text{End}_X^{I,\text{red}}(\mathcal{C})(S)$ is k -truncated.*

In general, reduction is an incarnation of the *disintegration and assembly* procedure of [HA; Ste25b]; given a reduced I -operad \mathcal{P}^\otimes and a V -object $X \in \mathcal{O}_V$, applying \mathcal{P} -algebras to Eq. (9) yields a pullback

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{Alg}_{\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P}}} \text{End}_X^{I,\text{red}}(\mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O})_V \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow U \\ \{X\} & \longleftarrow & U\mathcal{O}_V \end{array}$$

In the case that $U\mathcal{O}$ is a \mathcal{T} -space, U is an automatically cocartesian fibration, so \mathcal{O} -algebras are $U\mathcal{O}$ -indexed diagrams of $\text{End}_X^{I,\text{red}}(\mathcal{O})$ -algebras. Unfortunately, this is far from our case; the best we can do is take cores of the above pullback square, resulting in the following proposition.

Proposition 42. *Suppose $\mathcal{P}^\otimes \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}^\otimes$ is a morphism of I -operads inducing an equivalence of spaces*

$$\varphi_X^{*, \simeq} : \text{Alg}_{\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{Q}}} \text{End}_X^{I,\text{red}}(\mathcal{O})^{\simeq} \longrightarrow \text{Alg}_{\text{Res}_V^{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{P}}} \text{End}_X^{I,\text{red}}(\mathcal{O})^{\simeq}$$

for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$ and $X \in U\mathcal{O}_V$. Then, the induced map of \mathcal{T} -spaces

$$\underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{O})^{\simeq} \rightarrow \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O})^{\simeq}$$

is an equivalence; in particular, passing to \mathcal{T} -fixed points, the induced map of spaces

$$\text{Alg}_{\mathcal{Q}}(\mathcal{O})^{\simeq} \rightarrow \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O})^{\simeq}$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Taking cores of Eq. (10), we find that that $\varphi_X^{*,\simeq}$ is the induced map on the homotopy fiber over X of the following map of \mathcal{T} -spaces over $U\mathcal{O}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{O})^{\simeq} & \xrightarrow{\varphi^{*,\simeq}} & \underline{\text{Alg}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{O})^{\simeq} \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & U\mathcal{O} & \end{array}$$

$\varphi^{*,\simeq}$ is an equivalence if and only if its V -fixed points are an equivalence for all $V \in \mathcal{T}$, and the homotopy fibers of $\varphi^{*,\simeq,V}$ are contractible by the above argument, so $\varphi^{*,\simeq,V}$ is an equivalence for all V . Hence $\varphi^{*,\simeq}$ is an equivalence, proving the proposition. \square

2. CONNECTIVITY AND WIRTHMÜLLER MAPS

In this section, we verify [Theorem D](#); in particular, we will acquire the following technical corollary.

Corollary 43. *If \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} is ℓ -connected at I , then for all $(k + \ell + 2)$ -toposes \mathcal{C} , the reduced endomorphism I -operad $\text{End}_X(\underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{I-\times})$ is an I - $(k + 1)$ -operad.*

Proof. Since \mathcal{C} is a $(k + \ell + 2)$ -category, X is $(k + \ell + 2)$ -truncated, and [Theorem D](#) implies that $W_{X,S}$ is ℓ -connected, so the result follows from [Corollary 41](#). \square

Before moving on, we show how this yields the atomic orbital generalization of [Theorem B](#).

Proof of [Theorem B](#). By passing to restrictions and Borelifications, we assume that \mathcal{O}, \mathcal{P} are almost reduced. By [Proposition 37](#), we're tasked with verifying that, for all presheaf $(k + \ell + 2)$ -toposes \mathcal{C} , the map of spaces

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{\simeq} \rightarrow \text{CMon}_{A\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})^{\simeq}.$$

is an equivalence; since $\mathcal{N}_{A\mathcal{O}\infty}^{\otimes} \simeq \mathcal{P}^{\otimes} \otimes^{\text{BV}} \mathcal{N}_{A\mathcal{O}\infty}^{\otimes}$ by [Proposition 30](#), we may equivalently require that the map

$$\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{\simeq} \rightarrow \text{CMon}_{A\mathcal{O}}\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{\simeq}$$

is an equivalence. In particular, by [Propositions 37](#) and [42](#), it suffices to prove that $\text{End}_X(\underline{\text{Mon}}_{\mathcal{P}}(\mathcal{C})^{A\mathcal{O}-\times})$ is an $A\mathcal{O}$ - $(k + 1)$ -operad, which is [Corollary 43](#). \square

2.1. Connectivity of algebras can be detected in the value topos. Fix \mathcal{C} an n -topos for some $n \leq \infty$.

Lemma 44. *A map $f: C \rightarrow D$ in $\text{Coeff}^T \mathcal{C}$ is ℓ -connected if and only if, for all $V \in T^{\text{op}}$, the fixed point map $C^V \rightarrow D^V$ is ℓ -connected.*

Proof. Per [Remark 3](#), it is equivalent to prove that ℓ -connectiveness of a morphism in $\text{Fun}(T^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ is measured elementwise. Indeed, since (co)limits in $\text{Fun}(T^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{C})$ are computed elementwise, effective epimorphisms and diagonals are as well. The former proves the statement for (-2) -connectiveness, and the latter together with the diagonal presentation of [\[HTT, Prop 6.5.1.18\]](#) shows that the statement for $(\ell - 1)$ -connectiveness implies the statement for ℓ -connectiveness, so the lemma follows by induction. \square

Proposition 45. *Given a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$, if the underlying map Uf in $\text{Coeff}^T \mathcal{C}$ is ℓ -connected, then f is ℓ -connected.*

Proof. In view of [\[SY19, Lem 4.4.1\]](#), it suffices to verify that the monad $T_{\mathcal{O}}: \text{Coeff}^T \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Coeff}^T \mathcal{C}$ preserves ℓ -connected morphisms; by [Lemma 44](#), it suffices to verify that whenever each \mathcal{C} -diagram $X^V \rightarrow Y^V$ is ℓ -connected, each induced map $T_{\mathcal{O}}X^W \rightarrow T_{\mathcal{O}}Y^W$ is ℓ -connected. But by [Proposition 31](#), it suffices to note that ℓ -connected morphisms in an ∞ -topos are closed under cartesian products and colimits [\[HTT, Cor 6.5.1.13, Prop 5.2.8.6\]](#). \square

For instance, U preserves the terminal object and is conservative, so it also reflects the property of being terminal; applying [Proposition 45](#) in the case $Y = *$ shows that U reflects n -connectivity of objects.

Remark 46. Since U is a right adjoint, it preserves n -truncatedness and n -truncated objects. \triangleleft

Warning 47. [Proposition 45](#) is delicate for a few reasons.

- (1) If \mathcal{O} is not n -connected, then the free \mathcal{O} -algebra monad $T_{\mathcal{O}}: \mathcal{C}_V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V$ may itself may fail to preserve n -connected objects; indeed, we have $T_{\mathcal{O}}^*V \simeq \coprod_{S \in \mathbb{F}_V} \mathrm{Fr}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{O}(S)_{h\mathrm{Aut}_V S}$, which is often not much more highly connected than the individual spaces $\mathcal{O}(S)_{h\mathrm{Aut}_V S}$.
- (2) U does not generally *preserve* ℓ -connectivity of objects or morphisms for instance, given an $\ell \geq (k+1)$ -connected space X , the equivalence $\Omega^k: \mathcal{S}_{*, \geq k+1} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathbb{E}_k}(\mathcal{S}_{\geq 1})$ exhibits Ω^k as an ℓ -connected \mathbb{E}_k -algebra such that $U\Omega^n$ is only in general $(\ell - k)$ -connected.
- (3) For a similar reason, U does not usually reflect ℓ -truncatedness of morphisms or objects. \blacktriangleleft

2.2. **The proof of Theorem D.** We now begin to reduce **Theorem D** to the case $n \leq \ell + 1$ with the following.

Lemma 48. *The truncation functor $\tau_{\leq \ell}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}$ extends to a \mathcal{T} -functor*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{O}}: \underline{\mathrm{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C})$$

satisfying $\tau_{\mathcal{O}} W_{S,X} = W_{S, \tau_{\mathcal{O}} X}$. Moreover, the inclusion $\iota: \tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ extends to a fully faithful \mathcal{T} -functor

$$\iota_{\mathcal{O}}: \underline{\mathrm{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Mon}}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})$$

such that $\tau_{\mathcal{O}} W_{S, \iota_{\mathcal{O}} X} = W_{S,X}$.

Proof. Since $\tau_{\leq \ell}$ is product-preserving [HTT, Lem 6.5.1.2], $\tau_{\leq \ell}: \mathrm{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}} \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Coeff}^{\mathcal{T}} \tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}$ is a \mathcal{T} -symmetric monoidal left adjoint for the cartesian structure [Ste25b]; everything other than the equalities involving $W_{S,X}$ then follows straightforwardly from **Proposition 36**.

In particular, $\tau_{\mathcal{O}}$ is a \mathcal{T} -functor which preserves indexed products and coproducts; this implies that $\tau_{\mathcal{O}} W_{S,X} = W_{S, \tau_{\mathcal{O}} X}$. The remaining equality follows from fully faithfulness by noting that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{O}} W_{S, \iota_{\mathcal{O}} X} = W_{S, \tau_{\mathcal{O}} \iota_{\mathcal{O}} X} = W_{S,X}. \quad \square$$

We say that a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in an n -topos is an ℓ -equivalence if it is a $\tau_{\leq \ell}$ -equivalence; if f admits a section, this is equivalent to f being ℓ -connected (see [SY19, Prop 4.3.5] or note that this follows by splitting the long exact sequence in homotopy). We apply this by equivariantizing [SY19, Lem 5.1.1].

Lemma 49. *If $\mathcal{C}^{I-\times}$ is a Cartesian I -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category and $S \in \mathbb{F}_I$, then the image of the \mathcal{O} -algebra Wirthmüller map $W_{X,S}: \coprod_U^S X_U \rightarrow \prod_U^S X_U$ under $U: \mathrm{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C})_V \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_V$ admits a section.*

Given (Y_U) an S -tuple and $U \in \mathrm{Orb}(S)$ a distinguished orbit, choose the distinguished fixed point α whose induction is the following

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 U & & U \\
 \downarrow & \searrow^{\alpha} & \downarrow \\
 \mathrm{Ind}_U^{\mathcal{T}} \mathrm{Res}_U^V \mathrm{Ind}_U^V * U & \longrightarrow & U \\
 \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\
 U & \longrightarrow & V
 \end{array}$$

(see [Ste24] for the fact that this is indeed a summand inclusion). Let

$$\beta: Y_U \rightarrow \mathrm{Res}_U^V \mathrm{CoInd}_U^V Y_U \simeq \prod_W^{\mathrm{Res}_U^V \mathrm{Ind}_U^V * U} \mathrm{CoInd}_W^U \mathrm{Res}_W^U Y_U$$

be the map whose corresponding map $\mathrm{Res}_W^U Y_U \rightarrow \mathrm{Res}_W^U Y_U$ is 0 when $W \neq \alpha$ and the identity otherwise. Let

$$\iota_U: Y_U \rightarrow \mathrm{Res}_U^V \prod_W^S Y_U \simeq \mathrm{Res}_U^V \mathrm{CoInd}_U^V Y_U \times \mathrm{Res}_U^V \prod_W^{S-U} Y_U$$

be the map corresponding with β on the first factor and 0 on the other. Let $i_U: Y_U \rightarrow \mathrm{Res}_U^V \coprod_{U'}^S Y_{U'}$ be adjoint to the inclusion $\mathrm{Ind}_U^V Y_U \hookrightarrow \coprod_{U'}^S Y_{U'}$.

Proof of Lemma 49. Fix some operation $\mu \in \mathcal{O}(S)$. We will verify that the following diagram commutes. Then, $\mu\sigma_1 f$ will be the desired section for $W_{X,S}$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\prod_U^S \left(\text{Res}_U^V \prod_U^V X_U \right) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \left(\prod_U^S X_U \right)^{\times S} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \prod_U^S X_U \\
\uparrow f=(i_U)_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)} & & \downarrow h=(W_{\text{Res}_U^V X, \text{Res}_U^V S})_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)} & & \downarrow W_{X,S} \\
& & \left(\prod_U^S X_U \right)^{\times S} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \prod_U^S X_U \\
& & \downarrow \sigma_2 & & \parallel \\
\prod_U^S X_U & \xrightarrow{g=(i_U)_{U \in \text{Orb}(S)}} & \prod_U^S X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \prod_U^S X_U
\end{array}$$

Note that the top right square is commutative by the fact that $W_{S,X}$ is an \mathcal{O} -algebra morphism and the bottom right follows by unwinding the definition of μ .

Now, note that $\mu \circ g$ is the external product of a collection of endomorphisms $X_U \xrightarrow{i_U} X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} \xrightarrow{\mu} X_U$; unwinding definitions, i_U is the inclusion of a unit on all but one factor:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X_U & \xrightarrow{i_U} & X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} & \xrightarrow{\mu} & X_U \\
\parallel & & \parallel & \nearrow & \\
X_U \times \prod_W^{\text{Res}_U^V S - \{a\}} 1_W & \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, \eta)} & X_U \times \prod_W^{\text{Res}_U^V S - \{a\}} X_W & &
\end{array}$$

in particular, $\mu \circ i_U$ is homotopic to the identity, so $\mu \circ g$ is homotopic to the identity, and the bottom triangle commutes.

To characterize the composite morphism of the left rectangle, we may equivalently characterize the composite map $\pi_U \sigma_2 h \sigma_1 f: \prod_U^S X_U \rightarrow \text{CoInd}_U^V X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S}$; in fact, under the expression $X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} \simeq \prod_W^{\text{Res}_U^V S} \text{Res}_W^U X_U$, it suffices to characterize the composite morphism $\prod_U^S X_U \rightarrow \text{CoInd}_W^V \text{Res}_W^U X_U$ and verify that it is homotopic to the relevant projection of g for each W, U .

In particular, relevant projection of g is the composite morphism

$$\prod_U^S X_U \rightarrow \text{CoInd}_U^V X_U \xrightarrow{\delta_{U,W}} \text{CoInd}_W^V \text{Res}_W^U X_U$$

where $\delta_{U,W}$ is a Kronecker delta

$$\delta_{U,W} = \begin{cases} \text{id} & U = W; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Moreover, note that the projection $\pi_U \sigma_2 h \sigma_1: \prod_U^S X_U \rightarrow X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S}$ itself factors as

$$\prod_U^S \left(\text{Res}_U^V \prod_U^V X_U \right) \rightarrow \text{CoInd}_U^V X_U \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}_U} \text{CoInd}_W^V \text{Res}_W^U X_U,$$

so we're tasked with verifying that \widetilde{f}_U is homotopic to $\delta_{U,W}$. Indeed, this follows by examining the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\prod_U^S X_U & \xrightarrow{f} & \prod_U^S \left(\text{Res}_U^V \prod_U X_U \right) & \simeq & \left(\prod_U X_U \right)^{\times S} & \xrightarrow{h} & \left(\prod_U X_U \right)^{\times S} & \simeq & \prod_U^S X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} \\
\downarrow & & \searrow & & & & & & \downarrow \\
\text{CoInd}_U^V X_U & \xrightarrow{\text{CoInd}_U^V i_U} & \text{CoInd}_U^V \text{Res}_U^V \prod_U X_U & \xrightarrow{\text{CoInd}_U^V W} & \text{CoInd}_U^V \text{Res}_U^V \prod_U X_U & \simeq & X_U^{\times \text{Res}_U^V S} \\
& \searrow \delta_{U,W} & & & \downarrow & & \\
& & & & \text{CoInd}_W^V \text{Res}_W^U X_U & &
\end{array}$$

□

Proof of Theorem D. Assume \mathcal{O}^\otimes is ℓ -connected at I , i.e. **Condition (a)**. We study the behavior of $W_{S,X}$ under the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{i_{\mathcal{O}}} & \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\mathcal{C}) & \xrightarrow{L_{\mathcal{O}}} & \text{Mon}_{\mathcal{O}}(\tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}) \\
\downarrow U_{\leq \ell} & & \downarrow U & & \downarrow U_{\leq \ell} \\
\text{Coeff}^T \tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{i} & \text{Coeff}^T \mathcal{C} & \xrightarrow{L} & \text{Coeff}^T \tau_{\leq \ell} \mathcal{C}
\end{array}$$

In particular, by **Proposition 37** and **Lemma 48**, $L_{\mathcal{O}} W_{S,X} = W_{S,L_{\mathcal{O}} X}$ is an equivalence, so $U_{\leq \ell} L_{\mathcal{O}} W_{S,X} = LUW_{S,X}$ is an equivalence, i.e. $UW_{S,X}$ is an ℓ -equivalence. In turn, by **Lemma 49** this implies that $UW_{S,X}$ is ℓ -connected, so **Proposition 45** implies that $W_{S,X}$ is ℓ -connected, i.e. **Condition (b)**.

The implication **Condition (b)** \implies **Condition (c)** is immediate, so assume **Condition (c)**, i.e. fix the case $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{S}$ and assume that $W_{S,X}$ is ℓ -connected for all $X \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{S}$ and $S \in \underline{\mathbb{F}}_I$. We may invert the above argument: this time, we find that $UW_{S,i_{\mathcal{O}} Y}$ is an ℓ -equivalence for all $Y \in \text{Alg}_{\mathcal{O}} \mathcal{S}_{\leq \ell}$, so $LUW_{S,Y} = U_{\leq \ell} L_{\mathcal{O}} W_{S,i_{\mathcal{O}} Y} = U_{\leq \ell} W_{S,Y}$ is an equivalence. By conservativity of $U_{\leq \ell}$, this implies that $W_{S,Y}$ is an equivalence, so \mathcal{O}^\otimes is ℓ -connected at I by **Proposition 37**, proving **Condition (a)**. □

3. THE C_p -OPERADS $\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes$ AND $\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes \otimes^{\text{BV}} \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes$

For the rest of this article, we specialize to $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{O}_{C_p}$, where C_p is the group of prime order p , and \mathcal{C} is a 1-category. As in **Proposition 22**, let $\text{Fr}_{\Sigma}(S)$ denote the free C_p -symmetric sequence on an operation in arity S . Now, the pointwise formula for left Kan extensions yields equivalences

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{Fr}_{\Sigma, p \cdot *_{C_p}}(*) &\simeq \Sigma_p; \\
\text{Fr}_{\Sigma, [C_p/e]}(*) &\simeq \Sigma_p.
\end{aligned}$$

We define the C_p -symmetric sequence of sets F_{2,C_p} as the coequalizer

$$F_{2,C_p} := \text{CoEq} \left(\Sigma_p[p \cdot *_{C_p}] \rightrightarrows \left(\text{Fr}_{\Sigma, [C_p/e]}(*) \sqcup \text{Fr}_{\Sigma, p \cdot *_{C_p}}(*) \right) \right),$$

where $\Sigma_p[p \cdot *_{C_p}]$ is the C_p -symmetric sequence defined by

$$\Sigma_p[p \cdot *_{C_p}](S) := \begin{cases} \Sigma_p & S = p \cdot *_{C_p}; \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

and the two arrows are the inclusions of $\Sigma_p[p \cdot *_{C_p}]$. We define the unital C_p -operad $\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes$ by the Boardman-Vogt tensor product

$$\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes := \mathbb{E}_0^\otimes \otimes^{\text{BV}} \text{Fr}_{\text{Op}}(F_{2,C_p}).$$

As promised, we verify that \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} -monoids are the same as C_p -unital magmas.

Proposition 50. *There is an equivalence between $\text{Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^\otimes}(\mathcal{C})$ and C_p -unital magmas in \mathcal{C} .*

Proof. By [Example 25](#) and [Proposition 28](#) we have

$$\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathrm{FrOp}(F_{2,C_p})} \underline{\mathrm{Mon}}_{\mathbb{E}_0}^{\otimes}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathrm{FrOp}(F_{2,C_p})} \mathcal{C}_*.$$

Moreover, by [Proposition 34](#), the data of an \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} -monoid structure on $X \in \mathrm{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}$ is equivalently viewed as a map $\eta: *_{C_p} \rightarrow X$ (which we identify with an element $\tilde{X} \in \mathrm{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*$) and an element of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathrm{FrOp}(F_{2,C_p})}(\mathrm{End}_{\tilde{X}}(\mathcal{C}_*))^{\simeq} &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Tot}_{\Sigma_{C_p}}, \mathcal{S})}(F_{2,C_p}, \mathrm{End}_{\tilde{X}}(\mathcal{C}_*)) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*}(\tilde{X}^p, \tilde{X}) \times_{\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_*}((\tilde{X}^e)^p, \tilde{X}^e)} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*}(\mathrm{CoInd}_e^{C_p} \tilde{X}^e, \tilde{X}). \end{aligned}$$

We're left with interpreting this concretely: by a standard argument, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*}(\tilde{X}^p, \tilde{X})$ corresponds bijectively with the set of unital magma structures on X with unit η , and this corresponds bijectively with the pairs of unital magma structures on X^{C_p} and X^e with unit maps η^{C_p} and η^e such that the restriction map is a homomorphism. Under this bijection, the forgetful map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*}(\tilde{X}^p, \tilde{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}_*}((\tilde{X}^e)^p, \tilde{X}^e)$ simply forgets the data of X^{C_p} and the restriction.

Similarly, since C_p -coefficient coinduction is presented by the coefficient system $X^p \xleftarrow{\Delta} X$ with permutation action, $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}_*}(\mathrm{CoInd}_e^{C_p} \tilde{X}^e, \tilde{X})$ corresponds bijectively with the set of unital C_p -equivariant transfers $t: X^e \rightarrow X^{C_p}$ and unital magma structures on X^e with unit η^e satisfying the condition that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X^e & \xrightarrow{t} & X^{C_p} \\ \downarrow \Delta & & \downarrow r \\ (X^e)^p & \xrightarrow{*} & X^e \end{array}$$

Once again, the forgetful map restricts to the unital magma structure on η^e ; thus the fiber product corresponds exactly with G -unital magma structures on X with units η^e and η^{C_p} .

Now, what we've described is a bijective assignment of *sets* $\mathrm{Ob} \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ob} \mathrm{Magma}_{C_p}^{\mathrm{uni}}(\mathcal{C})$ over $\mathrm{Ob} \mathcal{C}$. To conclude, it suffices to prove that a $\mathrm{Coeff}^{C_p} \mathcal{C}$ morphism between a pair of C_p -unital magmas is a C_p -unital magma homomorphism if and only if it's an \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} -algebra homomorphism.

To prove this, note that an \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} -monoid morphism is equivalently a $\mathrm{FrOp}(F_{2,C_p})$ -monoid morphism of pointed objects, i.e. a pair of maps $F^e: M^e \rightarrow N^e$ and $F^{C_p}: M^{C_p} \rightarrow N^{C_p}$ which are compatible with units, satisfying $F^{C_p} \circ t = t \circ F^e$ and $F^e \circ r = r \circ F^{C_p}$ together with p -degree additivity

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (M^{C_p})^p & \longrightarrow & (N^{C_p})^p & & (M^e)^p & \longrightarrow & (N^e)^p \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ M^{C_p} & \longrightarrow & N^{C_p} & & M^e & \longrightarrow & N^e \end{array}$$

It suffices to note that a map between the pointed sets underlying unital magmas is a homomorphism if and only if it intertwines with *n*-ary addition for *some* $n \geq 2$; indeed, one can simply identify binary addition with n -ary addition whose first $(n-2)$ -factors are the unit. \square

We now spell out the interchange relations explicitly.

Proposition 51. *There is an equivalence between $\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}}(\mathcal{C})$ and pairs of G -unital magma structures $(M, *, \bullet, t_*, t_\bullet)$ in \mathcal{C} satisfying the interchange relations $1_* = \mathbf{1}_\bullet$ and*

$$\begin{array}{cccc} (X^p)^p \xrightarrow{(\bullet)} X^p & X^{C_p} \xleftarrow{t_\bullet} X^e \xrightarrow{t_*} X^{C_p} & (X^e)^p \xrightarrow{(t_\bullet)} (X^{C_p})^p & (X^e)^p \xrightarrow{(t_*)} (X^{C_p})^p \\ \begin{array}{ccc} (*) \downarrow & & \downarrow * \\ X^p & \xrightarrow{\bullet} & X \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} r \downarrow & \Delta \downarrow & \downarrow r \\ X^e & \xleftarrow{*} (X^e)^p \xrightarrow{\bullet} X^e \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} * \downarrow & & \downarrow * \\ X^e & \xrightarrow{t_\bullet} & X^{C_p} \end{array} & \begin{array}{ccc} \bullet \downarrow & & \downarrow \bullet \\ X^e & \xrightarrow{t_*} & X^{C_p} \end{array} \end{array}$$

Proof. [Example 25](#) and [Proposition 28](#) yields an equivalence.

$$\mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}^{\otimes 2}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathrm{FrOp}(F_{2,C_p})^{\otimes 2}}(\mathcal{C}_*)$$

This is characterized explicitly by [Corollary 35](#) and [Proposition 50](#); it suffices to note that the specified interchange relations correspond precisely with the conditions that t_\bullet and \bullet are C_p -unital magma homomorphisms. \square

We conclude the following form of [Theorem A](#).

Corollary 52. *Given \mathcal{C} a 1-category, the forgetful functor*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Fun}^\times(\mathrm{Span}(\mathbb{F}_{C_p}), \mathcal{C}) &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Mon}_{\mathbb{A}_{2,C_p} \otimes \mathbb{A}_{2,C_p}}(\mathcal{C}) \\ &\simeq \left\{ \text{Interchanging pairs of } C_p\text{-unital magmas in } \mathcal{C} \right\} \end{aligned}$$

is an equivalence of categories.

REFERENCES

- [Bar14] C. Barwick. *Spectral Mackey functors and equivariant algebraic K-theory (I)*. 2014. arXiv: [1404.0108 \[math.AT\]](#) (cit. on p. 8).
- [BDGNS16] Clark Barwick, Emanuele Dotto, Saul Glasman, Denis Nardin, and Jay Shah. *Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: Exposé I – Elements of parametrized higher category theory*. 2016. arXiv: [1608.03657 \[math.AT\]](#) (cit. on p. 7).
- [BH15] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. “Operadic multiplications in equivariant spectra, norms, and transfers”. In: *Adv. Math.* 285 (2015), pp. 658–708. ISSN: 0001-8708,1090-2082. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2015.07.013](#). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1309.1750> (cit. on p. 2).
- [BH21] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. “Equivariant stable categories for incomplete systems of transfers”. In: *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)* 104.2 (2021), pp. 596–633. ISSN: 0024-6107,1469-7750. DOI: [10.1112/jlms.12441](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.1112/jlms.12441> (cit. on p. 4).
- [CSY20] Shachar Carmeli, Tomer M. Schlank, and Lior Yanovski. *Ambidexterity and Height*. 2020. arXiv: [2007.13089 \[math.AT\]](#). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2007.13089> (cit. on p. 4).
- [Cha24] David Chan. “Bi-incomplete Tambara functors as O-commutative monoids”. In: *Tunisian Journal of Mathematics* 6.1 (Jan. 2024), pp. 1–47. ISSN: 2576-7658. DOI: [10.2140/tunis.2024.6.1](#). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2208.05555> (cit. on p. 2).
- [CLL24] Bastiaan Cnossen, Tobias Lenz, and Sil Linskens. *Parametrized higher semiadditivity and the universality of spans*. 2024. arXiv: [2403.07676 \[math.AT\]](#) (cit. on pp. 3, 9).
- [Dre71] Andreas W. M. Dress. *Notes on the theory of representations of finite groups. Part I: The Burnside ring of a finite group and some AGN-applications*. With the aid of lecture notes, taken by Manfred Küchler. Universität Bielefeld, Fakultät für Mathematik, Bielefeld, 1971, iv+158+A28+B31 pp. (loose errata) (cit. on p. 1).
- [EH23] Elden Elmanto and Rune Haugseng. “On distributivity in higher algebra I: the universal property of bispans”. In: *Compos. Math.* 159.11 (2023), pp. 2326–2415. ISSN: 0010-437X,1570-5846. DOI: [10.1112/s0010437x23007388](#). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.15722> (cit. on p. 8).
- [GGN15] David Gepner, Moritz Groth, and Thomas Nikolaus. “Universality of multiplicative infinite loop space machines”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 15.6 (2015), pp. 3107–3153. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2015.15.3107](#). URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/1305.4550> (cit. on p. 4).
- [GM17] Bertrand J. Guillou and J. Peter May. “Equivariant iterated loop space theory and permutative G-categories”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 17.6 (2017), pp. 3259–3339. ISSN: 1472-2747. DOI: [10.2140/agt.2017.17.3259](#). URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3459> (cit. on p. 5).
- [Lew92] L. Gaunce Lewis Jr. “The equivariant Hurewicz map”. In: *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 329.2 (1992), pp. 433–472. ISSN: 0002-9947,1088-6850. DOI: [10.2307/2153946](#). URL: <https://doi.org/10.2307/2153946> (cit. on p. 1).
- [HTT] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*. Vol. 170. Annals of Mathematics Studies. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009, pp. xviii+925. DOI: [10.1515/9781400830558](#). URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HTT.pdf> (cit. on pp. 3, 7, 17, 18).

- [HA] Jacob Lurie. *Higher Algebra*. 2017. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf> (cit. on pp. 12, 14–16).
- [Mar24] Gregoire Marc. *A higher Mackey functor description of algebras over an N_∞ -operad*. 2024. arXiv: 2402.12447 [math.AT] (cit. on p. 4).
- [Nar16] Denis Nardin. *Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: Exposé IV – Stability with respect to an orbital ∞ -category*. 2016. arXiv: 1608.07704 [math.AT] (cit. on p. 3).
- [NS22] Denis Nardin and Jay Shah. *Parametrized and equivariant higher algebra*. 2022. arXiv: 2203.00072 [math.AT] (cit. on pp. 1, 2, 6, 7, 9–11).
- [RS00] Colin Rourke and Brian Sanderson. “Equivariant configuration spaces”. In: *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* 62.2 (2000), pp. 544–552. ISSN: 0024-6107,1469-7750. DOI: 10.1112/S0024610700001241. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1112/S0024610700001241> (cit. on p. 5).
- [SY19] Tomer M. Schlank and Lior Yanovski. “The ∞ -categorical Eckmann-Hilton argument”. In: *Algebr. Geom. Topol.* 19.6 (2019), pp. 3119–3170. ISSN: 1472-2747,1472-2739. DOI: 10.2140/agt.2019.19.3119. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1808.06006> (cit. on pp. 2, 3, 6, 16–18).
- [Ste24] Natalie Stewart. *Orbital categories and weak indexing systems*. 2024. arXiv: 2409.01377 [math.CT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2409.01377> (cit. on pp. 2, 4, 8, 18).
- [Ste25a] Natalie Stewart. *Equivariant operads, symmetric sequences, and Boardman-Vogt tensor products*. 2025. arXiv: 2501.02129 [math.CT]. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.02129> (cit. on pp. 1–6, 9–15).
- [Ste25b] Natalie Stewart. *On tensor products of equivariant commutative operads (draft)*. 2025. URL: <https://nataliesstewart.github.io/files/ninfty.html> (cit. on pp. 2, 4–6, 9–13, 15, 16, 18).
- [Wir75] Klaus Wirthmüller. “Equivariant S-duality”. In: *Arch. Math. (Basel)* 26.4 (1975), pp. 427–431. ISSN: 0003-889X,1420-8938. DOI: 10.1007/BF01229762. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01229762> (cit. on p. 1).